STUDENTS' LEARNING STRATEGIES IN LISTENING CLASS

(A Study at English Study Program IAIN Curup)

THESIS

This Thesis is submitted to fulfil the requirement

for the "Sarjana" Degree in English Tadris Study Program



Written by:

SONIA NOVALISA NIM. 20551069

ENGLISH TADRIS STUDY PROGRAM

TARBIYAH FACULTY

STATE ISLAMIC INSTITUTE OF CURUP

2025



KEMENTERIAN AGAMA REPUBLIK INDONESIA INSTITUT AGAMA ISLAM NEGERI (IAIN) CURUP

Jalan Dr. AK Gani No. 01 Kotak Pos 108 Telp. (0732) 21010-21759 Fax 21010 Homepage. http://www.nameurup.ac.id Email.admin@iaincurup.ac.id Kode Pos 39119

PPROVA

Nomor: 1686 /In.34/FT/PP.00.9/09/2025

Name

: Sonia Novalisa

NIM

: 20551069

Departement

: English Tadris Study Program

Title

: Student's Learning Strategies in Listening Class (A Study at

English Study Program IAIN Curup

Had examined by examining board of the English Tadris Study Program of Institut Agama Islam Negeri (IAIN) Curup, on:

Day / Date

: Wednesday, August 13th 2025 : 11.00 AM – 12.30 PM

Time At

: Room 2 TBI IAIN Curup

Had been received to fulfill the requirement for the degree of Strata 1 in English Study Program of Tarbiyah of IAIN Curup.

Curup, August 2025

Examiners:

Secretary

Dr. Leffy Novivent M.Pd NIP. 19761106 200312 2 004

Jumatul Hidayah, M. Pd NIP. 19780224 200212 2 002

Examiner I

Dr. Paidi Gusmuliana, M.Pd NIP. 19840817 201503 1 004

Examiner II

Sarwordy, M.Pd TP. 19810607 202321 011

A N A Clean Staculty of Jarbiyah

Dr. Sutarto, Solg., M.Pd NP 19740921 200003 1 003

Hal: Pengajuan Sidang Munaqasah

Kepada Yth. Bapak Dekan Fakultas Tarbiyah IAIN Curup

Di

Curup

NIP. 19761106 200312 3 004

Assalamualaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh

Semoga bapak selalu dalam kesehatan dan lindungan dari Allah SWT. dalam setiap urusannya.

Setelah mengadakan pemeriksaan dan juga perbaikan yang penting, maka kami berpendapat bahwa skripsi atas nama Sonia Novalisa (20551069) sebagai Mahasiswa dari Program Studi Tadris Bahasa Inggris, dengan judul "Student's Learning Strategies in English Listening Class (A Study at English Study Program IAIN Curup)" sudah dapat diajukan dalam Sidang Munaqasah di Institut Agama Islam Negeri (IAIN) Curup.

Demikian Permohonan ini kami ajukan, besar harapan kami agar bapak dapat menyetujui hal ini. Terima kasih.

Wassalamualaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh

Curup, 20 June 2025

Co-Advisor

<u>Jumatul Hidayah, M.Pd</u>

NIP. 19780224 200212 2 002

STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP

The researcher who signed below:

Name : Sonia Novalisa

NIM : 20551069

Study Program : Tadris Bahasa Inggris

Faculty : Tarbiyah

Declare that the "Student's Learning Strategies in English Listening Class (A Study at English Study Program IAIN Curup)" thesis was written honestly and to the best of my ability. If this thesis has mistaken the following day, the author is prepared to assume responsibility for the consequences and any additional criticism from IAIN Curup and to abide by its policies.

Curup, 20 June 2025

Sonia Novalisa

NIM. 20551069

PREFACE

All praises to Allah SWT that the writer had finally finished writing this

thesis entitled "Student's Learning Strategies in English Listening Class (A Study

at English Study Program IAIN Curup)."

This thesis is submitted as a part of the completion for undergraduate degree

of strata 1 (S1) in English Study Program of IAIN Curup. The writer realizes that

this thesis is far being perfect, therefore the writer really appreciates some

suggestion and critics. for being perfect in the future.

Last but not least, the writer hopes that this thesis will be really useful to

those who are interested in this field of study.

Curup, 20 June 2025

The Researcher

Sonia Novalisa

NIM. 20551069

V

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Assalamu'alaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh

Alhamdulillahirabbil'alamin, all praise and gratitude are due to Allah SWT for His endless mercy, blessings, and guidance, which enabled the researcher to complete this thesis. May peace and blessings be upon the Prophet Muhammad SAW, his family, companions, and all who follow his path until the Day of Judgment.

The researcher has completed this thesis entitled "Student's Learning Strategies in English Listening Class (A Study at English Study Program IAIN Curup)". Throughout this journey, the researcher received support, assistance, and valuable guidance from many individuals. Therefore, the researcher would like to express heartfelt appreciation to:

- 1. Prof. Dr. Idi Warsah, M.Pd.I, as the Rector of IAIN Curup.
- 2. Dr. Sutarto, M.Pd, as the Dean of the Tarbiyah Faculty.
- 3. Mrs. Jumatul Hidayah, M.Pd, as the Head of the English Study Program at IAIN Curup and as the Co-Advisor, for her valuable time, corrections, and encouragement throughout the writing process.
- 4. Mrs. Dr. Leffi Noviyenty, M.Pd, as the researcher's thesis advisor, for the continuous support, guidance, and motivation until the completion of this thesis.
- 5. Ms. Henny Septia Utami, M.Pd, for her kindness and support—may it be returned in kind.
- 6. Mrs. Heni Afrianti, M.Pd, as the research instrument validator, for her valuable insight—thank you very much.
- 7. Mrs. Meli Kusumaningrum, M.Pd, as the research rater, for her valuable contribution—thank you very much.
- 8. Mr. Rizki Indra Guci, M.Pd, for his advice and insightful thoughts.
- 9. All lecturers of the English Study Program IAIN Curup, for their dedication, knowledge, and encouragement throughout the researcher's academic journey.

10. And to all those who have supported and helped the researcher, whose

names cannot be mentioned one by one—thank you very much for

everything.

Finally, the writer needs construction suggestion for being perfect in the

future. Hopefully, the result of this research can give beneficial contribution to the

development of education in other school for acknowledgment above, and those

are not mentioned, may Allah give them the best reward. Aamiin.

Curup, 20 June 2025

The Researcher

Sonia Novalisa

NIM. 20551069

vii

MOTTO AND DEDICATION

"A Goal is Dream with a Deadline"

By: Sonia Novalisa

With heartfelt respect, I dedicate this thesis to:

- 1. To my father and mother—thank you for standing by me through every storm, for your unwavering love, and for being my closest friend. Your prayers and silent strength have carried me farther than words can say.
- 2. To my sister—thank you for being my constant source of support and laughter, for believing in me when I doubted myself, and for reminding me to stay strong. Your presence has been a light during my darkest days.
- 3. To my advisor, Mrs. Dr. Leffi Noviyenty, M.Pd, and my co-advisor, Mrs. Jumatul Hidayah, M.Pd, your guidance was a steady light in moments of doubt. Thank you for your wisdom, patience, and support that shaped this thesis into its final form.
- 4. To the lecturers of the English Study Program at IAIN Curup, thank you for nurturing minds and hearts, and for the dedication you poured into each lesson. Your knowledge has become part of the foundation on which I stand.
- 5. To my friends in the English Study Program, thank you for the laughter, shared struggles, and endless encouragement. This journey would not have been the same without you.
- 6. To my beloved alma mater, IAIN Curup. The memories and lessons here will remain a part of me forever.

ABSTRACT

Sonia Novalisa, 2025 : Students' Learning Strategies in Listening

Class (A Study at English Study Program IAIN

Curup)

Student ID Number : 20551069

Advisor : Dr. Leffy Novienty, M. Pd Co-Advisor : Jumatul Hidayah, M,Pd

This study aims to identify the learning strategies used by 56 second-semester students in listening classes and their reasons for employing these strategies. Using a quantitative descriptive design, data were collected via a questionnaire based on O'Malley and Chamot's taxonomy, categorizing strategies into cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective. Results show cognitive strategies, especially focusing on keywords (mean score 3.4), are most frequently used, followed by metacognitive (mean 3.0–3.3) and socio-affective strategies (mean 2.9–3.2). Students value cognitive strategies for enhancing understanding (29 responses) and focusing on key information (23 responses), metacognitive strategies for improving accuracy (17 responses), and socio-affective strategies for addressing weaknesses (24 responses). The dominance of cognitive strategies reflects their alignment with course objectives and students' developing proficiency. However, the lower use of metacognitive and socio-affective strategies indicates a need for explicit training to promote balanced strategy use, ultimately enhancing listening proficiency in the EFL context.

Keywords: Listening Strategies, Cognitive, Metacognitive, Socio-Affective

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL	ii
LEMBAR PENGAJUAN SKRIPSI	iii
STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP	iv
PREFACE	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
MOTTO AND DEDICATION	viii
ABSTRACT	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS	X
TABLE OF FIGURES	xii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background of the Research	1
B. Research Questions.	5
C. Research Objectives.	6
D. Delimitation of the Research	6
E. Operational Definition of Key Terms	7
F. Significances of the Research	8
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	9
A. Definition of Listening	9
B. Type of Listening	10
1. Extensive Listening	11
2. Intensive Listening	12
C. Listening Comprehension.	13
D. Learning Strategies in Listening.	14
E. Categories of Listening Learning Strategies	16
1. Cognitive Strategy	16
2. Metacognitive Strategy	17
3. Socio-Affective Strategy	19
F. Listening at English Study Program in IAIN Curup	19
G. Review of Previous Related Studies	21
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24
A. Kind of Research	24
B. Population and Sample	24

1. Population	24
2. Sample	25
C. Data Collection Technique	26
1. Questionnaire	26
D. Research Instrument	27
1. Questionnaire	27
E. Validity of Instrument	33
1. Validity	33
2. Reliaibility	33
F. Data Analysis Technique	35
CHAPTER IV FINDING AND DISCUSSION	37
A. Finding	37
1. Students' Strategies Used in Learning English for Listening Class	37
2. The Reasons in Using the Strategies	41
B. Discussion.	44
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	50
A. Conclusion	50
B. Suggestions	50
REFERENCES	53

TABLE OF FIGURES

Table 3.1 Population of the Research	25
Table 3.2 Questionnaire Blueprint of Students' Strategies Use in Learning En	glish
for Listening Class	29
Table 3.3 Questionnaire Blueprint of The Reasons in Using the Strategies	3
Table 3.4 Cronbach's Alpha Rate	34
Table 3.5 Reliability Statistics Questionnaire 1 Try Out	35
Table 3.6 Reliability Statistics Questionnaire 2 Try Out	35
Table 4.1 Students' Strategies Used in Learning English for Listening Class	38
Table 4.2 The Result of The Reasons in Using the Strategies	41

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

A. Background of the Research

Listening is one of the most essential skills in English language learning. Among the four language skills listening, speaking, reading, and writing listening plays a crucial role because it provides the primary input for communication. In real communication, listening is often considered the first step that enables learners to comprehend the spoken language and respond appropriately. Listening is the key to receiving language input, and without listening comprehension, communication will break down. This indicates that listening is not merely a passive activity, but rather an active process in which learners construct meaning from spoken messages.¹

However, listening is often regarded as the most difficult skill for EFL (English as a Foreign Language) learners to master. Students frequently encounter problems when they listen to spoken English, such as difficulty in understanding fast speech, coping with unfamiliar accents, or recognizing words in continuous speech. In addition, limited vocabulary and lack of background knowledge often hinder learners from catching the main ideas and details of the spoken text.² Listening comprehension is a complex process involving not only the decoding of sounds but also the use of linguistic and non-linguistic knowledge to construct meaning. Therefore,

¹ Michael Rost, *Teaching and Researching Listening 4th Edition, Michael Rost (Sample i-28))* (2024).

² Saliha Chelli, 'An Investigation of the Listening Strategies Used by Second-Year EFL Students at Biskra University', *Sciences de l'Homme et de La Société*, 6 (2013).

many students feel anxious and frustrated when dealing with listening tasks, especially in academic contexts.³

In order to overcome these challenges, students often employ learning strategies that can help them understand spoken texts better. Learning strategies are specific techniques, actions, or behaviors used by learners to facilitate their learning and improve comprehension. Oxford defines learning strategies as steps taken by learners to enhance their own learning, while O'Malley and Chamot classify learning strategies into three main categories: cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective strategies. Cognitive strategies refer to the mental processes involved in manipulating the language material, such as taking notes, summarizing, or guessing meaning from context. Metacognitive strategies involve higher-order thinking skills such as planning, monitoring, and evaluating one's learning process. Socio-affective strategies are related to the interaction and affective aspects of learning, including asking questions, cooperating with peers, and reducing anxiety. By applying these strategies, students are more likely to process listening materials effectively and improve their comprehension.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the use of learning strategies can significantly contribute to students' success in listening. For example, Keezhatta. et.al study explained learners who frequently use metacognitive strategies such as planning and monitoring tend to perform better in

_

³ Larry Vandergrift and Christine Goh, 'Teaching and Testing Listening Comprehension', *The Handbook of Language Teaching*, 2009, pp. 395–411.

⁴ Oxford's Classification of Langauge Learning Strategies (LLS) (1990) (n.d.).

⁵ J Michael O'malley and Anna Uhl Chamot, *Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition* (Cambridge university press, 1990).

listening comprehension because they are more aware of their learning process.⁶ Similarly, the use of socio-affective strategies helps learners to reduce anxiety and become more confident during listening tasks. However, the choice of strategies may vary depending on the learners' individual preferences, cultural background, and learning environment.⁷ This suggests that it is important to investigate the kinds of strategies used by students in specific contexts, particularly in EFL classrooms.

In general, listening difficulties are commonly experienced by EFL learners across various educational contexts. Numerous studies have shown that limited vocabulary, lack of exposure to authentic English input, and challenges in understanding different accents and rapid speech are among the major obstacles faced by students.⁸ In other words, listening comprehension issues are not only encountered by a small group of learners but represent a widespread phenomenon among EFL students. Similar conditions are also found in the context of IAIN Curup, where students, despite taking a specific listening course, continue to struggle in comprehending spoken English materials effectively.

In the context of IAIN Curup, *Interpretative Listening* is introduced as the first focused listening course in the English Study Program and is taken by students in the second semester. This course plays a pivotal role because

⁷ Eunike Putri Chriswiyati, *SOCIAL-AFFECTIVE STRATEGIES AND STUDENTS'* LISTENING ANXIETY: A STUDY OF EFL LEARNERS IN INDONESIA © U K D W (n.d.).

-

⁶ Muhammed Salim Keezhatta, Zahoor Hussain, and Muhammad Ajmal, 'The Effect of Language Learning Strategies on Learners" Achievement and Attitude', *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24.4 (2020), pp. 7066–83.

⁸ D Fadhly and F Z Rahmatunisa, 'Listening Comprehension Study: Difficulties and Strategies Used by College Students', *Indonesian Journal of Learning and Instruction*, 4.1 (2021), p. 55, doi:10.25134/ijli.v4i1.4345.

it functions as the foundation for more advanced listening and speaking subjects in subsequent semesters. However, based on preliminary observation and informal interviews by researcher, many students still face significant difficulties in comprehending spoken English materials. The following is an example of a student's experience in facing challenges during a listening class. The student expressed:

"As a student with limited English skills, I find it quite challenging to keep up in the interpretative listening class. Since I don't know many vocabularies, I often struggle to understand what's being said in the audio and usually just guess the meaning from the words I recognize. I try to take notes, but the audio plays too fast, which makes me lose focus and end up not understanding the whole content. I've also asked my classmates for help, but it seems most of them are having the same problem too."

Interestingly from the informal interview, although students often try to cope with these challenges by using certain techniques such as guessing meaning from context, taking notes, or asking peers for clarification, they are generally unaware that these actions are part of structured learning strategies as classified by O'Malley and Chamot. This situation highlights a clear gap, while some studies on learning strategies exist, very few have been conducted in the context of IAIN Curup, and none have specifically investigated students' strategic approaches in *Interpretative Listening*.

Given this gap, it is important to further investigate what kinds of learning strategies are applied by students in listening class and why they

⁹ S. Personal interview by researcher. May 2025, Rejang Lebong.

use those particular strategies. Understanding these aspects will not only provide insights into students' learning behaviors but also help lecturers design more effective teaching techniques that align with students' needs. Furthermore, identifying the reasons behind the use of strategies can reveal students' motivations and preferences, which are crucial for fostering autonomous learning.

Based on this consideration, the researcher intends to conduct a study entitled "STUDENTS' LEARNING STRATEGIES IN LISTENING CLASS (A Study at the English Study Program of IAIN Curup)." This study aims to identify the learning strategies employed by second-semester students in listening class and to explore their reasons for using such strategies.

B. Research Questions

Based on the background provided, the research problem is formulated as follows:

- 1. What learning strategies are applied by the students in the listening class at the English Study Program of IAIN Curup?
- 2. What reasons do the students have for applying these strategies in the listening class at the English Study Program of IAIN Curup?

C. Research Objectives

Based on the research questions, the objectives of this study are following:

- To investigate the learning strategies employed by the second-semester students in the listening class at the English Study Program of IAIN Curup.
- 2. To explore the students' reasons for using these specific learning strategies in their listening comprehension process.

D. Delimitation of the Research

The scope of this research is limited to the students of the English Study Program at IAIN Curup. The participants are 56 second-semester students who have completed Interpretative Listening, which is the first listening course in the curriculum. The focus of this study is on identifying the types of learning strategies used by students in listening class and exploring their reasons for using such strategies. The study applies the framework of O'Malley and Chamot, which classifies learning strategies into three categories: cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective strategies. This study does not measure the students' actual listening proficiency, but rather emphasizes their reported use of learning strategies and the reasons behind their choice.

E. Operational Definition of Key Terms

In this section, key terms are defined to facilitate a clearer understanding of the context. The definitions of these terms are provided below:

1. Listening

An active process of interpreting and constructing meaning from auditory input. Clark defines communication as the active activity of hearing, interpreting, and building meaning beyond the uttered word. It is crucial for daily tasks and decision-making.¹⁰

2. Strategies

According to Paudel, in the world of education, strategy is defined as a plan, method, or series of activities designed to achieve a particular educational goal.¹¹

3. Learning Strategies

Specific actions, techniques, or behaviors employed by students to enhance their understanding, learning, and retention of listening materials. ¹² In the listening context learning strategies that facilitate and enhance the listening learning process for students. It describes the strategy or approach that students use to acquire listening in order to understand the subject matter. ¹³

F. Significances of the Research

This research can offer contributions to both the lectures and students in teaching and learning context:

1. For lecturers

_

¹⁰ Alison Clark, 'A Hundred Ways of Listening.', YC: Young Children, 62.3 (2007).

¹¹ Pitambar Paudel, 'Learning Strategies Employed in English Language: Perceptions and Practices', *Journal of NELTA Gandaki*, 1 (2019), pp. 87–99, doi:10.3126/jong.v1i0.24463.

¹² O'malley and Chamot, *Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition*.

¹³ Thitipat Watthajarukiat, Monta Chatupote, and Panida Sukseemuang, 'An Investigation of English Listening Strategies Used by Thai Undergraduate Students in Public Universities in the South', *Journal of Liberal Arts Prince of Songkla University*, 4.2 (2012), p. 1.

This study may provide insights for lecturers to design teaching methods that align with the strategies commonly used by students.

2. For Student

The results of this study may help students become more aware of the strategies they use in listening activities and encourage them to adopt more effective strategies.

3. English Department

The result of this research can serve as valuable input for the English Department in evaluating and developing the listening curriculum, particularly the *Interpretative Listening* course. Furthermore, the results may provide a reference for future studies related to learning strategies, thereby contributing to the improvement of the overall quality of the English Study Program.

CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Definition of Listening

Listening is one of the fundamental language skills in English learning. It is often regarded as a receptive skill because learners receive and interpret spoken messages before responding through productive skills such as speaking. According to Underwood, listening is the activity of paying attention to and trying to get meaning from what we hear. ¹⁴ Similarly, Rost defines listening as a process of receiving, constructing meaning from, and responding to spoken or nonverbal messages. ¹⁵

Howatt and Dakin as cited in *Improving Listening and Speaking Skills in Mixed Level Groups* defined listening was the ability to identify and understand what others are saying, and involved understanding a speaker's accent or pronunciation, grammar and vocabulary, and grasping the meaning. ¹⁶ Brown state similarly definition of listening as a student's written or spoken response that demonstrates proper (or improper) auditory processing. One of the most crucial abilities for improving as a foreign language learner is listening. The act of gathering information from the outcomes that people communicate in daily life is known as listening. ¹⁷

¹⁴ Teaching Listening, n.d.

¹⁵ Teaching and Researching Listening Michael Rost Second Edition (n.d.) www.pearson-books.com.

¹⁶ Mapruza Idrissova, Batagoz Smagulova, and Madina Tussupbekova, 'Improving Listening and Speaking Skills in Mixed Level Groups (on the Material of New English File)', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 199 (2015), pp. 276–84, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.07.517.

¹⁷ Steven Brown and Steven Randall Brown, *Listening Myths: Applying Second Language Research to Classroom Teaching* (University of Michigan Press, 2011).

Gilakjani & Ahmadi explain, in terms of language teaching, listening refers to activities that enable students to understand spoken language, which is a process of receiving what the speaker says, understanding and expressing meaning, reasoning and responding, and finally producing meaning through participation, imagination, and understanding.¹⁸

These definitions highlight that listening is not merely a passive activity of hearing sounds, but an active process that requires concentration, interpretation, and understanding. Vandergrift also emphasizes that listening involves not only decoding the sounds but also using background knowledge, linguistic knowledge, and contextual clues to comprehend the message. Therefore, listening plays an essential role in facilitating communication and language acquisition.¹⁹

B. Type of Listening

Listening activities can be categorized into several types. Broadly, listening is divided into extensive listening and intensive listening. Extensive listening refers to activities that focus on general and freer exposure to spoken language, where learners engage with utterances without the need for direct teacher guidance. In contrast, intensive listening involves activities that are carefully supervised and controlled in their implementation, usually requiring close attention to specific details.

¹⁹ Vandergrift and Goh, 'Teaching and Testing Listening Comprehension'.

¹⁸ Abbas Pourhosein Gilakjani and Mohammad Ahmadi, 'A Study of Factors Affecting EFL Learners' English Listening Comprehension and the Strategies for Improvement', *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 2 (2011), doi:10.4304/jltr.2.5.977-988.

1. Extensive Listening

Extensive listening is a listening approach that aims to build fluency and motivation through large-volume exposure to authentic and varied audio materials. The main objective is not to understand every single word, but to grasp the general meaning and enjoy the process of listening. The materials used in extensive listening are typically longer and chosen based on the learner's personal interests, such as podcasts, audiobooks, or films. This approach seeks to create a natural and enjoyable listening experience that indirectly contributes to language acquisition and vocabulary growth.

Krashen argues that language acquisition occurs when learners receive "comprehensible input" that is slightly above their current proficiency level (i+1).²⁰ In the context of listening, extensive listening provides this abundant, engaging, and low-stress input, allowing learners to naturally develop comprehension and expand their vocabulary. Furthermore, Nation highlighting that a high volume of listening exposure is critical for both vocabulary acquisition and language fluency.²¹ Consequently, extensive listening is based on the principle that the quantity of input is a key factor in the process of language acquisition.

²⁰ Zixu Luo, 'A Review of Krashen's Input Theory', *Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 26 (2024), pp. 130–35, doi:10.54097/3fnf5786.

_

²¹ I. S. P.. Nation, *Learning Vocabulary in Another Language* (Cambridge University Press, 20022001).

2. Intensive Listening

Intensive listening is a highly focused and detail-oriented listening technique. Its primary goal is to enhance a learner's auditory comprehension by meticulously processing information from the smallest linguistic units, such as phonemes and individual words, up to the full meaning of a text. Common activities associated with intensive listening include transcription, dictation, and a thorough grammatical analysis of the audio content. This approach is typically used in academic settings to train specific listening skills and is often guided by a teacher or specific learning materials.

The theoretical basis for intensive listening is "bottom-up processing." According to Richards, this refers to the way a listener constructs meaning by starting with the smallest parts of the input, such as individual words and syntactic structures, and integrating them into a larger understanding. Richards emphasizes that detailed listening activities are crucial for building a strong foundational understanding, particularly for language learners. Thus, intensive listening is centered on developing a learner's ability to recognize and distinguish specific linguistic elements accurately.²²

C. Listening Comprehension

Brownell states that the effective communication begins with listening, not speaking. Unless you listen first, you have no way of knowing what to say. By listening people can understand the purpose of others speech and it

²² Jack C Richards, *Teaching Listening and Speaking: From Theory to Practice* (n.d.).

is hoped that they can give responses properly. So listening is one of the important skills that should be mastered by english learners, because without having listening ability they will not be able to give responses to spoken english.²³

Listening is the most significant part of communication as it is pivotal in providing a substantial and meaningful response. Especially in learning a language for communicative purpose, listening plays a vital role, as it helps the language learner to acquire pronunciation, word stress, vocabulary, and syntax and the comprehension of messages conveyed can be based solely on tone of voice, pitch and accent; and it is only possible when we listen. Without understanding input appropriately, learning simply cannot get any improvement. In addition, without listening skill, no communication can be achieved.

Listening holds a central position in second language learning. It is often the first skill that learners acquire before they are able to produce language. Krashen's Input Hypothesis also stresses that comprehensible input, which is mostly received through listening, is essential for language acquisition. Without sufficient listening comprehension, students will face difficulties in developing speaking, reading, and writing skills.

In classroom contexts, listening helps learners to understand the instructions from the teacher, follow classroom discussions, and engage in meaningful communication. Vandergrift notes that effective listening

_

²³ Judi Brownell, *Listening: Attitudes, Principles, and Skills, Sixth Edition*, in *Listening: Attitudes, Principles, and Skills, Sixth Edition* (2017), doi:10.4324/9781315441764.

ability is crucial because it serves as the foundation for other language skills.²⁴ Moreover, good listening comprehension enables students to acquire new vocabulary, improve pronunciation, and enhance their overall language proficiency.

D. Learning Strategies in Listening

In the process of language learning, students often adopt certain ways or techniques to facilitate their understanding and mastery of the target language. These techniques are commonly referred to as learning strategies. Oxford defines learning strategies as specific actions, behaviors, steps, or techniques used by learners to enhance their learning and make it easier, faster, and more enjoyable.²⁵ Similarly, Wenden and Rubin describe learning strategies as the mental or behavioral activities that learners use in order to learn more effectively.²⁶

Learning strategies are defined by Richards and Platt as "intentional behaviour and thoughts used by learners during learning so as to better help them understand, learn, or remember new information."²⁷

O'Malley and Chamot emphasize that learning strategies are special thoughts or behaviors that individuals use to help them comprehend, learn, or retain new information. These definitions suggest that learning strategies are not random activities but are consciously chosen by learners to improve

²⁶ Wenden, A. L., & Rubin, J. (1987). Learner Strategies in Language Learning. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

-

²⁴ Laurens Vandergrift, 'The Comprehension Strategies of Second Language (French) Listeners: A Descriptive Study', *Foreign Language Annals*, 30.3 (1997), pp. 387–409.

²⁵ Oxford's Classification of Langauge Learning Strategies (LLS) (1990).

²⁷ Jack C Richards and Richard W Schmidt, *Longman Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics* (Routledge, 2013).

their learning outcomes.²⁸ In the context of listening, learning strategies help students manage the difficulties they face, such as fast speech, limited vocabulary, or unfamiliar accents, by providing structured ways to process and understand spoken input.

Three categories of strategies were established by O'Malley and Chamot: cognitive Strategies that involve direct manipulation of the learning material, such as note-taking, summarizing, translation, or guessing meaning from context. Metacognitive Strategies – strategies that involve planning, monitoring, and evaluating one's learning process, such as predicting the content before listening or checking comprehension during the task. Socio-affective Strategies – strategies that involve interaction with others or managing emotional factors, such as asking for clarification, cooperating with peers, or reducing anxiety.²⁹ Furthermore, Brown et al. state that cognitive strategies typically work directly with incoming information, modifying it to improve learning, while their applicability may be restricted to the particular task type in the learning activity.³⁰ Organization, inferencing, summarizing, deduction, visualization, transfer, elaboration, and rehearsing are common cognitive strategies for listening comprehension. Higher order executive skills known as meta-cognitive strategies can involve organizing, observing, or assessing the effectiveness of educational endeavours. Social/affective strategies focus on how students engage with both native speakers and other students. They constitute a

_

1982.

²⁸ O'malley and Chamot, *Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition*.

²⁹ O'malley and Chamot, *Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition*.

³⁰ Ann L Brown, Learning, Remembering, and Understanding. Technical Report No. 244.,

comprehensive category that includes ideational control over affect or interpersonal interaction. Typical social/affective strategies include self-talk, self-questioning, and cooperation.

This classification is particularly relevant to listening comprehension, because it not only covers the mental processes involved in dealing with input but also addresses the emotional and social aspects of learning. Therefore, this study adopts the framework of O'Malley and Chamot to analyze the students' listening learning strategies.

E. Categories of Listening Learning Strategies

1. Cognitive Strategy

A cognitive strategy in listening is a unique method that students use to learn a lesson. For example, they may summarize or take key notes, or they may attempt to understand challenging phrases in order to understand the speaker's point of view.³¹ Top-down and bottom-up processing strategies are the two divisions of cognitive strategy.³² The term "top-down processing strategy" describes the use of the signal to forecast the speaker's words.³³

As part of the top-down processing technique, students summarize the speaker's points and infer the topic's meaning. According to Mukminin et al, the bottom-up processing approach is a method of

-

³¹ Laurens Vandergrift, 'The Comprehension Strategies of Second Language (French) Listeners: A Descriptive Study', Foreign Language Annals, 30.3 (1997), pp. 387–409.

³² Sara Nowrouzi and others, 'Self-Perceived Listening Comprehension Strategies Used by Iranian EFL Students', *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 3.6 (2014), pp. 35–41.

Yi-Jiun Jou, 'A Study of English Listening Strategies Applied by Technological University Students', 稻江學報, 4.2 (2010), pp. 127–42.

deciphering the meaning of sounds by determining the grammatical level, semantic content, and word meaning.³⁴ This strategy focuses on helping students get ready for the listening process and to enhance their long-term learning. indicating that the strategy is intended to be used again and for a longer period of time. Students can therefore manage any difficulties they may encounter when they are given another listening task. Cognitive strategies help learners to focus on the content, organize information, and make connections between what they hear and what they already know.

2. Metacognitive Strategy

Metacognitive strategies in listening are management approaches that learners employ to regulate their learning by planning, checking, assessing, and altering, according to Rubin.³⁵ For example, when using metacognitive planning strategies, listeners identify the objectives of a listening task and use particular aspects of the auditory language input that facilitate comprehension. Students are therefore conscious while listening to the text using this strategy, and they also learn how to organize, track, and assess the data gathered from the listening portion. Additionally, students who employ metacognitive skills are able to learn more quickly and integrate the information exceptionally well, are consistent receivers and handle every situation, have the confidence to

³⁴ Amirul Mukminin and others, 'Learning Strategies Used by Efl Undergraduate Students Teachers for Listening Skill', *Ezikov Svyat*, 19.1 (2021), pp. 82–88.

-

³⁵ Joan Rubin, 'A Review of Second Language Listening Comprehension Research', *The Modern Language Journal*, 78.2 (1994), pp. 199–221.

ask for assistance from family, friends, or teachers, and are able to monitor and evaluate themselves.

The term "metacognitive strategies" refers to executive function. These strategies necessitate learning planning, thinking about the learning process while it is happening, keeping an eye on one's production or comprehension, and assessing learning following the completion of an activity.

According to the explanation given above, metacognition is the ability to recognize your strengths and weaknesses, comprehend what you will need to know to complete a task, and know how to apply your existing abilities to learn new information. Metacognitive strategies are important because they allow learners to become aware of their strengths and weaknesses in listening and to adjust their strategies accordingly.

3. Socio-Affective Strategy

According to Vandergrift, socio-affective strategies in listening are strategies that listeners employ to work with others, confirm knowledge, or reduce fear.³⁶ Therefore, non-academic socio-affective strategies were those that involved fostering empathy between the teacher and the student in order to stimulate learning. They included taking attitudes and feelings into account. It was crucial for listeners to understand how to lower their anxiety, feel comfortable doing listening exercises, and

.

³⁶ Vandergrift and Goh, 'Teaching and Testing Listening Comprehension'.

encourage self-motivation in order to increase their listening proficiency.

Socio-affective strategies it can be states that they have something to do with social mediating and interacting with other people. Socio-affective strategies are particularly important for EFL learners who often experience anxiety when listening to English. By applying socio-affective strategies, students can build confidence, reduce stress, and engage more actively in listening tasks.

F. Listening at English Study Program in IAIN Curup

In the context of English Study Program in IAIN Curup, listening is regarded as one of the core language skills that students in the English Study Program are required to master. In the second semester, students must take *Interpretative Listening*, which serves as the first formal course specifically designed to train their listening competence. At this stage, students are expected to develop fundamental listening skills that will serve as the foundation for more advanced language courses in the following semesters.

Despite its importance, listening is considered one of the most challenging skills for EFL students in English Study Program at IAIN Curup. Several factors contribute to students' difficulties in understanding listening material. One of the main problems is the speed of spoken language. Native speakers usually speak faster than learners expect, which makes it difficult for students to recognize individual words and catch the

meaning. In addition, the variety of accents in English also becomes an obstacle for students who are only familiar with one standard accent.

Another common difficulty is the limited vocabulary of learners. When students encounter unfamiliar words, they often lose concentration and fail to follow the rest of the message. Brown points out that listening comprehension is often hindered by a lack of vocabulary and inability to recognize words in connected speech.³⁷ Furthermore, learners may struggle with distinguishing sounds, identifying intonation patterns, and interpreting reduced forms in spoken English.

Psychological factors also play a role in listening difficulties. Many students feel anxious or nervous when listening to English, especially in testing situations. Anxiety reduces concentration and affects their ability to process information effectively. In addition, environmental factors such as poor audio quality or background noise may also disrupt listening comprehension.

In summary, listening is a complex skill that involves not only the ability to hear and decode sounds but also the mental effort to interpret meaning. The various challenges faced by students highlight the importance of providing strategies that can help them overcome these difficulties. This leads to the discussion of learning strategies that students may apply to support their listening comprehension.

_

³⁷ Jean E. Brown, 'Learning through Listening Strategies for Literature', *Language Arts Journal of Michigan*, 17.2 (2001), doi:10.9707/2168-149x.1316.

G. Review of Previous Related Studies

Several research have focused on studying listening learning strategies. A quantitative study by Fathi, Derakhshan, and Torabi examined how teaching listening strategies affected Iranian EFL learners' self-efficacy and listening anxiety. Following the use of metacognitive, cognitive, and socio-affective methods, the results demonstrated a significant decrease in listening anxiety (p < 0.05) and an improvement in self-efficacy levels. Their results showed that learners' performance on listening tasks was positively impacted by structured strategy instruction. 38

Keezhatta investigated the connection between students' academic achievement and their learning practices. The study, which used a survey-based methodology, found that students who regularly used metacognitive techniques, like self-monitoring and planning, performed better academically. They also reported a 20% improvement in their listening comprehension test scores when compared to students who used these techniques less frequently.³⁹

Lewier and Nendissa investigated the listening techniques employed by sixty Pattimura University students in Ambon. According to their mixed-method study, 46.6% of participants employed metacognitive techniques like goal-setting and progress tracking, whereas 76.6% of participants preferred social/affective methods like peer collaboration or seeking

³⁹ Keezhatta, Hussain, and Ajmal, 'The Effect of Language Learning Strategies on Learners" Achievement and Attitude'.

_

³⁸ Jalil Fathi, Ali Derakhshan, and Saeede Torabi, 'The Effect of Listening Strategy Instruction on Second Language Listening Anxiety and Self-Efficacy of Iranian EFL Learners', *Sage Open*, 10.2 (2020), p. 2158244020933878.

emotional support. It was discovered that these techniques greatly improved pupils' understanding and participation in listening lessons.⁴⁰

A recent study that examined listening tactics using O'Malley and Chamot's taxonomy was published in the Reflections Journal. According to the study, learners who used metacognitive techniques (such as self-evaluation and reflection) demonstrated a 15% increase in listening task accuracy, demonstrating the value of these techniques in enhancing understanding. In order to overcome listening challenges, cognitive and socio-affective methods were also found to be crucial.⁴¹

Compared with these studies, this research has two distinctive points, first it investigates all three categories of strategies cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective in the context of second-semester students at English Study Program in IAIN Curup, and second it explores not only what strategies students use but also the reasons behind their choices. Furthermore, this research provides a broader understanding of listening strategies by combining both the types of strategies and the motivations for using them.

⁴⁰ Christian Albert Lewier and Priska Nendissa, 'Listening Strategies of Students at English Education Study Program of Pattimura University Ambon; Implication for Teaching Listening', *Jurnal Tahuri*, 17.2 (2020), pp. 96–110.

⁴¹ Acharin Chitprarop, 'Cultivating Linguistic Listening: Unpacking EFL Accounting Undergraduates' Challenges and Empowering Strategies with Mobile-Assisted Language Learning.', *REFLections*, 31.1 (2024), pp. 235–54.

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Kind of Research

This study employs a descriptive quantitative research design to systematically describe the strategies used by students in learning English listening, as Creswell explains that descriptive research aims to provide an accurate account of trends, attitudes, or behaviors within a population. Data were collected using close-ended structured questionnaire with a four-point Likert scale, developed based on O'Malley and Chamot's classification of learning strategies, and analyzed through percentage, mean, and standard deviation to reveal patterns of strategy use and the reasons behind students' choices. This design was considered appropriate because it provides a clear overview of students' learning strategies in listening and offers insights that can support the development of more effective teaching practices and instructional approaches.

B. Population and Sample

1. Population

Creswell defines a population as a collection of individuals who share a common characteristic.⁴³ The population of this research was the second-semester students of the English Study Program at IAIN Curup in the academic year 2022. They were chosen because they had

⁴² Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

⁴³ James E Cresswell, Nicolas Desneux, and Dennis VanEngelsdorp, 'Dietary Traces of Neonicotinoid Pesticides as a Cause of Population Declines in Honey Bees: An Evaluation by Hill's Epidemiological Criteria', *Pest Management Science*, 68.6 (2012), pp. 819–27.

already completed *Interpretative Listening*, which is the first listening course in the program. The population comprised students from different classes, representing a variety of academic backgrounds and learning experiences, as this course marked their first formal engagement with focused listening instruction. The total number of the population was 56 students

Table 3.1 Population of the Research

No.	Class	Population
1.	A Class	19
2.	B Class	18
3.	C Class	19
Total	56	

2. Sample

The sample is a component of the population, claim Ary et al.⁴⁴ According to Arikunto, the researcher would be better off taking all the population if there were less than 100.⁴⁵ Researcher use sample drawn from the entire population it includes all 56 students', since the number of students was relatively small and manageable. The method of sampling known as "total sampling" uses the same number of samples as the population.⁴⁶ Total sampling is particularly useful when the population is limited, as it allows the researcher to gather more comprehensive and accurate data without the risk of sampling bias.⁴⁷

⁴⁴ Donald Ary and others, 'Introduction to Research in Education 8th Edition', *Canada: Wadsworth Cengage Learning*, 8.8 (2010), pp. 1–320.

⁴⁵ Suharsimi Arikunto, 'Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek', (*No Title*), 2010.

⁴⁶ Sugiyono, "Metode Penelitian Pendidikan (Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, Kombinasi, R&D, dan Penelitian Pendidikan)". *I* Bandung: Alfabeta, 2019.

⁴⁷ Ilker Etikan, 'Comparison of Convenience Sampling and Purposive Sampling', *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 5.1 (2016), p. 1,

By applying this approach, the researcher ensured that the findings would reflect the actual conditions of the entire population, thereby increasing the validity of the results.

C. Data Collection Technique

The data collection technique used in this research was a questionnaire.

The questionnaire was designed to investigate the students' learning strategies in listening as well as their reasons for using those strategies.

1. Questionnaire

Questionnaire is an efficient instrument for collecting data from a large number of respondents. It consists of a series of written questions that the respondents answer by marking or writing their responses. In this study, the questionnaire was divided into two parts, the first part focused on students' listening strategies, categorized into cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective strategies following O'Malley and Chamot's taxonomy and the second part explored students' reasons for employing those strategies. In addition to its efficiency, the decision to employ the questionnaire as the sole instrument in this research is also based on its appropriateness for a quantitative descriptive design, where the purpose is to systematically describe students' strategies and their underlying reasons rather than to explore subjective experiences in depth. As Creswell emphasizes, questionnaires are particularly suitable for descriptive studies because they enable researchers to collect

doi:10.11648/j.ajtas.20160501.11.

⁴⁸ John W Creswell, *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research* (pearson, 2015).

quantifiable data from a relatively large number of participants in a standardized manner.⁴⁹ Ary et al.'s also view that questionnaires ensure uniformity in the way data is obtained from respondents making the data easier to compare, summarize, and analyze.⁵⁰ Considering these reasons, the use of a questionnaire alone was deemed sufficient and most effective for addressing the objectives of this research.

D. Research Instrument

The primary instrument for data collection is questionnaire adapted from previous studies on listening strategies, structured around O'Malley and Chamot's taxonomy of metacognitive, cognitive, and socio-affective strategies. Each item in the questionnaire specifically formulated to reflect behaviours and thoughts engaged in during or related to listening comprehension tasks.

1. Questionnaire

To gather information from the students or participants, a questionnaire was required. The purpose of the questionnaire is to provide the researcher with a way to collect information from wide range of respondents. The Likert scale, which typically has four possible responses (Strongly Disagree "SD" (1), Disagree "D" (2), Agree "A" (3), Strongly Agree "SA" (4)), and was used by the researcher to gather data for this questionnaire.

⁴⁹ John Cresswell, *Educational Research* (2012).

⁵⁰ Ary Donald, Jacobs Lucy, and K Sorensen Christine, 'Introduction to Research in Education Eight Edition', *Canada. Wdsworth*, 2010.

⁵¹ J Michael O'malley and Anna Uhl Chamot, Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition (Cambridge university press, 1990).

Closed-ended questions served to learn more about the listening strategies that students employ in class. The following methods were employed by the researcher:

- 1. Researcher determined the listening strategies used by the students in the IAIN Curup listening class.
- 2. Researcher then identified the results of the students' listening strategies during the listening class.
- The researcher prepared the questionnaire based on O'Malley and Chamot theoretical framework of learning strategies.
- 4. To confirm the accuracy of each question, researcher consulted a validator.
- Revising, conducting try out to random sample, and finalizing the questionnaire before distributing it to the students.

Table 3.2 Questionnaire Blueprint of Students' Strategies Use in Learning English for Listening Class

Aspect	Indicators	No	Items	SD	D	A	SA
Students Strategies Use in Learning English for	Cognitive	1.	I summarize key points while listening to enhance comprehension.				

Listoning		1			
Listening Class		2.	I take notes while listening to retain important details.		
		3.	I predict the meaning of unfamiliar words based on context.		
		4.	I focus on keywords to grasp the main idea of the listening material.		
Metacognitive Socio- Affective		5.	I rely on tone, speed, and pauses to interpret the speaker's meaning.		
	6.	Before listening, I set learning objectives for comprehension.			
	Metacognitive	7.	After listening, I reflect on my understanding and identify areas for improvement.		
		8.	I maintain focus and avoid distractions during listening activities.		
		9.	I recognize my strengths and weaknesses in listening skills.		
		10.	I set specific goals to improve my listening skills.		
		11.	I discuss listening tasks with classmates to enhance comprehension.		
	Affective		I ask for assistance from teachers or peers when I face listening difficulties.		

1:	3.	I actively participate in group discussions related to listening exercises.		
14	4.	I motivate myself to keep improving my listening skills despite difficulties.		
1:	5.	I stay calm and manage anxiety when listening to difficult English content.		

The first table is designed to identify the strategies students use in learning English listening skills and to understand how they approach the process of comprehension. By categorizing strategies into cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective aspects, the table effectively highlights different dimensions of students' learning behavior. Rather than simply listing techniques, it provides a structured framework to see whether students rely more on processing information, planning and self-monitoring, or seeking social and emotional support. This makes the table effective for analyzing patterns in students' learning approaches and understanding which strategies are most commonly adopted.

Table 3.3 Questionnaire Blueprint of The Reasons in Using the Strategies

Aspect	Strategies	No	Reasons	SD	D	A	SA
The Reasons in Using the Strategies	Cognitive	1.	Create a better understanding				

		2.	Strengthens the ability to extract key information.		
		3.	Improves recall and analytical skills.		
		4.	Create a focus to the important information		
		5.	Deepens overall comprehension.		
		6.	Boosts accuracy in tasks or understanding.		
Socio- Affective		7.	Sharpens the ability to process spoken information.		
	Metacognitive	8.	Facilitates understanding of new or unfamiliar content.		
		9.	Enhances the accuracy of what is heard.		
		10.	Helps maintain focus and improves grasp of a subject.		
	Socio-	11.	Supports better focus and understanding.		
	Affective	12.	Provides a positive boost to overall capability.		

13.	Assists in overcoming listening challenges.		
14.	Develops abilities progressively over time.		
15.	Offers opportunities to address and fix weaknesses.		

The second table focuses on the reasons behind using these strategies, making it effective in complementing the first table. Instead of only showing what students do, it explains why they choose certain approaches, such as improving comprehension, maintaining focus, or managing challenges during listening. This deeper insight helps educators and researchers evaluate not just the frequency of strategy use but also students' motivations and learning needs. Together, both tables provide a comprehensive view that links students' actions with their underlying goals, making the analysis more meaningful and practical for improving listening instruction.

E. Validity of Instrument

1. Validity

To ensure the instrument's robustness and accuracy, the researcher employed an expert validation process. Content validity was the primary focus, aiming to ascertain whether the questionnaire adequately covered all relevant aspects pertinent to the research questions and theoretical arguments. The validation was conducted by one of a qualified expert alumna of IAIN Curup. Her qualifications include being an alumna of IAIN Curup and currently pursuing a doctoral degree. She also actively teaches at a private university, demonstrating practical and academic expertise relevant to this study.

Following a thorough evaluation by the validator, the initial questionnaire, which comprised 60 items, underwent a rigorous selection process. As a result, 30 items were meticulously chosen (15 per questionnaire) as appropriate and valid for data collection, ensuring the instrument's quality and focus.

2. Reliability

Reliability indicates that an instrument is dependable for data collection, and in this study, it was tested using Cronbach's Alpha, which ranges from 0 to 1 and classifies reliability into five categories. Each category corresponds to a certain score range and level of reliability, as presented in the following table.⁵²:

 Table 3.4 Cronbach's Alpha Rate

Cronbach's Alpha	Internal Consistency
0.801 - 1.00	Excellent
0.601 - 0.80	Good
0,401 - 0.60	Acceptable
0.201 - 0.40	Poor
0.00 - 0.20	Unacceptable

The formula of Cronbach's Alpha as follow:

⁵² Nana Marlina Cahyani, Edo Indriyanto, and Siti Masripah, 'Uji Validitas Dan Reabilitas Terhadap Implementasi Aplikasi Penjualan Dan Pembelian', *INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR EDUCATORS AND PROFESSIONALS*, 1.1 (2016), pp. 21–34.

$$r_n = \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\sum \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right)$$

 $r_n = Instrument \ reliability$

 $n=Question\ items\ \Sigma\sigma_1^2=Number\ of\ question\ variants$

 $\sigma_1^2 = Total \ Variants$

Prior to distribution, both questionnaires were try out with 15 random sample, and the results showed that all 15 items in each questionnaire were valid, as the r-count values exceeded the r-table value (0.514). To strengthen the analysis, the researcher also examined reliability using Ms. Excel and IBM SPSS, where Questionnaire 1 scored 0.85 and Questionnaire 2 scored 0.84. These findings confirm that both questionnaires demonstrate strong internal consistency and are valid and reliable instruments for use in this research.

Table 3.5 Reliability Statistics Questionnaire 1 Try Out

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's	
Alpha	N of Items
.854	15

Table 3.6 Reliability Statistics Questionnaire 2 Try Out

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's	
Alpha	N of Items
.846	15

F. Data Analysis Technique

Three types of listening strategies are identified in the researcher's questionnaire for evaluating students' learning strategies in listening classes: cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective strategies. Descriptive statistics are therefore be used to describe these strategies. To figure out the mean and percentage of students who use listening strategies at English Study Program in IAIN Curup's listening class, researcher use the following formula:⁵³

a) Mean

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum xi}{n}$$

x = mean

 $\sum xi = total \ scores$ $n = number \ of \ items$

b) Percentage

$$P = \frac{F}{N} \times 100\%$$

P = Large percentage of alternative answers

 $F = Frequency \ of \ alternative \ respondents \ answers$

 $N = Number\ of\ research\ samples$

⁵³ Anas Sudijono, *Pengantar Evaluasi Pendidikan*, 2013.

CHAPTER IV FINDING AND DISCUSSION

This chapter presents the results of the data collected through questionnaires distributed to 56 second-semester students at the English Study Program, Institut Agama Islam Negeri (IAIN) Curup, to investigate their learning strategies in listening classes and the reasons of using the strategies. The analysis integrates theoretical frameworks from O'Malley and Chamot to contextualize the findings within the broader literature on listening comprehension.

A. Finding

1. Students' Strategies Used in Learning English for Listening Class

To find out students' strategies used in learning English for listening class, this questionnaire consists of a number of indicators that are based on O'Malley and Chamot theory. This part of the chapter presents the results of research conducted from 12th to 28th May 2025. Researcher used a questionnaire which was distributed directly to students. The questionnaire was distributed and filled by 56 respondent and had 15 items. The questionnaires utilized a 4-point Likert scale (4 =Strongly Agree, 3 = Agree, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree). For each item, the mean score and standard deviation (Std. Dev.) were calculated. The maximum possible score per item was 224 (56 respondents × 4), and percentages were derived using) the formula: Percentage = (Frequency/Total Respondents × 100%.)

First questionnaire aimed to identify the strategies employed by students in the Listening class. The results are categorized into Cognitive, Metacognitive, and Socio-Affective strategies, as presented in the following tables:

Table 4.1 Students' Strategies Used in Learning English for Listening Class

Indicators	Item	Mean	Deviation	%	
	I summarize key points while listening to enhance comprehension.	3,3	0,49		
	I take notes while listening to retain important details.	3,1	0,63		
Cognitive	I predict the meaning of unfamiliar words based on context.	3,2	0,63	34,2%	
	I focus on keywords to grasp the main idea of the listening material.	3,4	0,59		
	I rely on tone, speed, and pauses to interpret the speaker's meaning.	3,1	0,62		
	Before listening, I set learning objectives for comprehension.	3,1	0,71		
	After listening, I reflect on my understanding and identify areas for improvement.	3,1	0,55	33,2%	
Metacognitive	I maintain focus and avoid distractions during listening activities.	3,3	0,60		
	I recognize my strengths and weaknesses in listening skills.	3,2	0,62		
	I set specific goals to improve my listening skills.	3	0,60		
	I discuss listening tasks with classmates to enhance comprehension.	3,1	0,70		
Socio- Affective	I ask for assistance from teachers or peers when I face listening difficulties.	3,1	0,69		
	I actively participate in group discussions related to listening exercises.	2,9	0,57	32,6%	
	I motivate myself to keep improving my listening skills despite difficulties.	3,2	0,56		
	I stay calm and manage anxiety when listening to difficult English content.	3,1	0,64		

a. Cognitive

Cognitive strategies involve direct manipulation of the listening input to enhance comprehension. The mean scores range from 3.1 to 3.4, indicating frequent use. The highest mean score (3.4) was for "I focus on keywords to grasp the main idea of the listening

material", suggesting that students prioritize extracting essential information, a strategy aligned with top-down processing. ⁵⁴ For example, students might focus on words like "therefore" or "however" to understand the speaker's main argument. The lowest mean score (3.1) was for "I take notes while listening to retain important details" and "I rely on tone, speed, and pauses to interpret the speaker's meaning." The lower score for note-taking may reflect the cognitive load of simultaneously listening and writing, particularly for second-semester students still developing their listening proficiency. The standard deviations (0.49–0.63) indicate moderate consistency, with the lowest variability for summarizing key points (0.49), suggesting broad agreement on its use.

b. Metacognitive

Metacognitive Strategies involve planning, monitoring, and evaluating the listening process. Mean scores range from 3.0 to 3.3, with "I maintain focus and avoid distractions during listening activities" scoring highest (3.3). This reflects students' awareness of the need to concentrate during tasks like listening to audio monologues, a critical skill in listening class. The lowest score (3.0) was for "I set specific goals to improve my listening skills," indicating that students may lack structured approaches to long-term skill development. For instance, students might not set goals like "understand 80% of a conversation" before a task. The standard

⁵⁴ Laurens Vandergrift, 'The Comprehension Strategies of Second Language (French) Listeners: A Descriptive Study', Foreign Language Annals, 30.3 (1997), pp. 387–409.

deviations (0.55–0.71) suggest slightly higher variability, particularly for setting objectives (0.71), possibly due to individual differences in metacognitive awareness.

c. Socio-Affective

Socio-Affective Strategies involve collaboration and emotional regulation. Mean scores range from 2.9 to 3.2, with "I motivate myself to keep improving my listening skills despite difficulties" scoring highest (3.2). This suggests students employ self-motivation to persist through challenges, such as unfamiliar accents. The lowest score (2.9) was for "I actively participate in group discussions related to listening exercises," indicating lower engagement in collaborative activities. This could be due to cultural factors, such as reluctance to speak in groups, or limited opportunities for structured discussions in class. Standard deviations (0.56–0.70) show moderate consistency, with higher variability for collaborative items, reflecting diverse comfort levels with peer interaction.

d. Overall Result

The overall agreement indicates that students frequently employ a combination of cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective strategies, with cognitive strategies (34,2%) being the most prevalent. This aligns with O'Malley and Chamot's findings that cognitive strategies are often the most intuitive for language learners due to their direct engagement with the material.⁵⁵

⁵⁵ J Michael O'malley and Anna Uhl Chamot, Learning Strategies in Second Language

2. The Reasons in Using the Strategies

The following table presents the results of the analysis regarding the students' reason in using of the listening strategies. The results of this research were obtained from data collection through questionnaires distributed to students, with the aim of identifying their reasons.

Table 4.2 The Result of The Reasons in Using the Strategies

Strategies	Reasons	Students' Answer
Create a better understanding		29
	Create a focus to the important information	23
Cognitive	Improves recall and analytical skills.	20
Cognitive	Strengthens the ability to extract key information.	19
	Deepens overall comprehension.	17
	Enhances the accuracy of what is heard.	17
	Facilitates understanding of new or unfamiliar content.	15
Matagagaitiva	Boosts accuracy in tasks or understanding.	12
Metacognitive	Sharpens the ability to process spoken information.	12
	Helps maintain focus and improves grasp of a subject.	6
	Offers opportunities to address and fix weaknesses.	24
Casia	Develops abilities progressively over time.	20
Socio- Affective	Provides a positive boost to overall capability.	15
	Supports better focus and understanding.	11
	Assists in overcoming listening challenges.	10

a. Cognitive

Cognitive strategies received strong support, with the highest agreement (29 responses) for "Create a better understanding,"

indicating students value techniques like replaying podcasts to deepen comprehension and retention. The strategy "Create a focus to the important information" (23 responses) also garnered significant approval, suggesting students appreciate methods like noting key terms during lectures to organize information. "Improves recall and analytical skills" (20 responses) and "Strengthens the ability to extract key information" (19 responses) reflect confidence in cognitive strategies for enhancing memory and critical thinking. However, "Deepens overall comprehension" received the least agreement (17 responses), possibly due to challenges in applying these strategies consistently across varied contexts.

b. Metacognitive

Metacognitive strategies were also well-regarded, with "Enhances the accuracy of what is heard" leading at 17 responses, highlighting students' trust in goal-setting to improve precision in tasks, such as focusing on key lecture points. "Facilitates understanding of new or unfamiliar content" (15 responses) and "Boosts accuracy in tasks or understanding" (12 responses) indicate confidence in strategies like seeking clarification from peers. "Sharpens the ability to process spoken information" also received 12 responses, showing moderate support. The least agreement was for "Helps maintain focus and improves grasp of a subject" (6 responses), suggesting students may undervalue its immediate benefits for sustained attention and comprehension.

c. Socio-Affective

Socio-affective strategies were positively received, with "Offers opportunities to address and fix weaknesses" topping the category at 24 responses, emphasizing the motivational role of positive affect, such as engaging with enjoyable content like English songs or podcasts. "Develops abilities progressively over time" (20 responses) and "Provides a positive boost to overall capability" (15 responses) reflect steady support for strategies that foster gradual improvement and confidence. "Supports better focus and understanding" (11 responses) and "Assists in overcoming listening challenges" (10 responses) received the least agreement, possibly due to limited opportunities for group interaction or collaborative learning.

d. Overall Result

Students perceive cognitive strategies as the most effective for processing and retaining information, particularly through repetition and focusing on key details. Metacognitive strategies are valued for enhancing accuracy and understanding, though their benefits for focus are less recognized. Socio-affective strategies are appreciated for their motivational impact and ability to address weaknesses, but their effectiveness may be constrained by limited social engagement. Cognitive strategies lead in student preference, followed by metacognitive and socio-affective strategies, with note-

taking and structured learning seen as practical despite some skepticism about their immediate applicability.

B. Discussion

The findings indicate that students employ a combination of cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective strategies, with cognitive strategies being the most frequently used. The high reliance on cognitive strategies, particularly focusing on keywords (mean score 3.4), aligns with O'Malley and Chamot's assertion that cognitive strategies are intuitive for language learners due to their direct engagement with linguistic input.⁵⁶ This preference is likely driven by the structure of the listening courses, which emphasize tasks requiring students to extract main ideas and details from audio materials. For instance, focusing on signal words like "therefore" or "however" supports top-down processing, as described by Vandergrift, enabling students to efficiently process complex input by prioritizing essential information.⁵⁷ The moderate variability in responses (standard deviations 0.49–0.63) suggests a consistent application of cognitive strategies across the sample, with summarizing key points showing the least variability (0.49), indicating broad consensus on its utility.

However, the lower mean score for note-taking (3.1) may reflect the cognitive load of simultaneously listening and writing, particularly for second-semester students with developing proficiency. This finding is consistent with Rost's observation that novice learners often struggle with

⁵⁶ O'malley and Chamot, *Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition*.

⁵⁷ Vandergrift, 'The Comprehension Strategies of Second Language (French) Listeners: A Descriptive Study'.

multitasking during listening tasks due to limited working memory capacity.⁵⁸ Similarly, the lower score for relying on tone, speed, and pauses (3.1) suggests that students may not yet fully exploit paralinguistic cues, possibly due to unfamiliarity with varied accents or speech patterns, a challenge noted by Goh in EFL contexts.⁵⁹

Metacognitive strategies are the second most commonly applied, particularly maintaining focus during listening activities (mean score 3.3). This reflects students' awareness of the need for sustained attention in tasks such as listening to monologues, a critical skill in language learning.⁶⁰ However, the lower score for setting specific goals (3.0) indicates that students may not consistently engage in structured, long-term skill development. This could stem from limited metacognitive awareness, as second-semester students are still developing self-regulatory skills, or from a lack of explicit instruction in goal-setting, as highlighted by Vandergrift and Goh.⁶¹ The higher variability in responses for setting objectives (standard deviation 0.71) suggests individual differences in metacognitive awareness, which may warrant targeted instructional interventions.

Socio-affective strategies are the least utilized, with active participation in group discussions scoring the lowest (2.9). This finding may reflect cultural factors in the Indonesian educational context, where teacher-centered instruction predominates, potentially limiting opportunities for

⁵⁸ Rost, Teaching and Researching Listening 4th Edition, Michael Rost (Sample i-28)).

⁵⁹ Vandergrift and Goh, 'Teaching and Testing Listening Comprehension'.

⁶⁰ O'malley and Chamot, Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition.

⁶¹ Christine Goh and Larry Vandergrift, *Teaching and Learning Second Language Listening: Metacognition in Action* (2021), doi:10.4324/9780429287749.

collaborative learning. Alternatively, students' reluctance to engage in group discussions could stem from shyness or discomfort with peer interaction, as noted by Richards and Rodgers in EFL settings.⁶² Conversely, the high score for self-motivation (3.2) indicates that students actively employ strategies to persist through challenges, such as unfamiliar accents, aligning with Oxford's emphasis on the role of affective factors in language learning.⁶³ The moderate variability in socio-affective responses (standard deviations 0.56–0.70) suggests diverse comfort levels with collaborative activities, highlighting the need for structured opportunities to foster peer interaction.

The predominance of cognitive strategies can be attributed to several factors. First, the listening course structure emphasizes tasks that align with cognitive strategies, such as identifying main ideas, which are reinforced through classroom activities and assessments. Second, as developing learners, students may find cognitive strategies more accessible due to their concrete, task-specific nature compared to the abstract processes of metacognitive strategies or the socially interactive nature of socio-affective strategies. Third, the Indonesian educational context, characterized by teacher-centered approaches, likely reinforces reliance on cognitive strategies, as students are trained to focus on immediate task outcomes rather than long-term skill development.

 $^{^{62}}$ Jack C Richards and Theodore S Rodgers, *Approacnes and Methods in Language Teaching* (1986).

⁽⁶³⁾ Oxford's Classification of Langauge Learning Strategies (LLS) (1990) (n.d.).

The reasons students provided for employing these strategies further illuminate their preferences and perceptions. Cognitive strategies are highly valued for creating better understanding (29 responses) and focusing on important information (23 responses), reflecting their direct impact on comprehension tasks. These findings align with Fathi et al.'s research, which highlights the efficacy of cognitive strategies like note-taking and summarizing in enhancing retention and analysis of auditory input. ⁶⁴ The tangible outcomes of these strategies, such as improved recall and analytical skills (20 responses), provide immediate feedback, boosting students' confidence in their effectiveness, particularly for learners facing challenges like limited vocabulary or pronunciation difficulties.

Metacognitive strategies are appreciated for enhancing accuracy (17 responses) and facilitating understanding of unfamiliar content (15 responses). These reasons suggest that students recognize the value of planning and monitoring in improving task performance, as supported by Vandergrift and Goh⁶⁵. However, the lower support for maintaining focus and improving grasp of a subject (6 responses) indicates that students may undervalue the long-term benefits of metacognitive strategies, possibly due to their abstract nature or limited explicit instruction in their application.

Socio-affective strategies are valued for addressing weaknesses (24 responses) and fostering progressive skill development (20 responses), underscoring their motivational role. Engaging with enjoyable content,

 64 Fathi, Derakhshan, and Torabi, 'The Effect of Listening Strategy Instruction on Second Language Listening Anxiety and Self-Efficacy of Iranian EFL Learners'.

⁶⁵ Goh and Vandergrift, Teaching and Learning Second Language Listening: Metacognition in Action.

such as English songs or podcasts, likely enhances students' persistence, as noted by Oxford.⁶⁶ However, the lower support for strategies supporting focus and understanding (11 responses) and overcoming challenges (10 responses) may reflect limited opportunities for collaborative learning, as group interactions are not consistently integrated into the curriculum.

The findings suggest that cognitive strategies dominate due to their alignment with the listening class objectives and the immediate feedback they provide, consistent with O'Malley and Chamot's framework.⁶⁷ However, the relatively lower use of metacognitive and socio-affective strategies indicates a need for instructional interventions to promote balanced strategy use. For instance, explicit training in goal-setting and reflective practices could enhance metacognitive awareness, while structured group activities could foster socio-affective engagement, as recommended by Vandergrift and Goh.⁶⁸ The Indonesian context, with its teacher-centered approach, may limit opportunities for such strategies, suggesting a need for pedagogical shifts toward learner-centered methods.

In conclusion, the students' frequent use of cognitive strategies reflects their accessibility and alignment with classroom tasks, while metacognitive and socio-affective strategies, though valued, are less utilized due to developmental and contextual factors. These insights provide a foundation for designing targeted interventions to enhance listening strategy use,

⁶⁶ Oxford's Classification of Language Learning Strategies (LLS) (1990).

_

 ⁶⁷ O'malley and Chamot, Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition.
 ⁶⁸ Goh and Vandergrift, Teaching and Learning Second Language Listening:
 Metacognition in Action.

ultimately improving students' listening proficiency and overall language learning outcomes.

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

A. Conclusion

This study investigated the listening strategies used by 56 second-semester students of the English Study Program at IAIN Curup. The findings show that students applied a mix of cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective strategies, with cognitive strategies being the most dominant (34.2%), followed by metacognitive second and socio-affective last.

Students primarily favored cognitive strategies as they directly supported comprehension (29 responses), while metacognitive strategies helped improve accuracy, and socio-affective strategies were used to manage difficulties. These results indicate that students rely heavily on strategies that focus on immediate understanding, suggesting the need for a more balanced pedagogical approach by incorporating training in metacognitive and socio-affective strategies to enhance overall listening proficiency.

B. Suggestions

Based on the findings, these suggestions are aimed at a better future, the following suggestions are proposed:

1. Lecturers

Lecturers should explicitly integrate training in metacognitive and socio-affective strategies through goal-setting and group activities. This could involve teaching students how to set specific listening goals and encouraging reflective practices, such as self-evaluation. Designing structured group activities, like peer discussions, could also foster socio-affective strategies. Incorporating varied audio materials, such as podcasts with diverse accents, can help students practice cognitive strategies like relying on tone and context.

2. Students

Students are encouraged to adopt a balanced approach by practicing all strategy types. For example, they should practice setting clear objectives before listening tasks and reflect on their performance afterward. Engaging in peer discussions and seeking clarification from classmates or lecturers can enhance socio-affective strategies, helping to manage anxiety and build confidence.

3. Future Researchers

Future research should consider conducting longitudinal or qualitative studies to explore strategy development and contextual influences. Qualitative studies, such as interviews or focus groups, could provide deeper insights into students' perceptions and challenges. Additionally, comparative studies across different EFL institutions in Indonesia could investigate the influence of institutional and cultural factors on strategy use, offering broader implications for listening pedagogy.

REFERENCES

- Arikunto, Suharsimi, 'Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek', (No Title), 2010
- Ary, Donald, and others, 'Introduction to Research in Education 8th Edition', *Canada: Wadsworth Cengage Learning*, 8.8 (2010), pp. 1–320
- Brown, Ann L, Learning, Remembering, and Understanding. Technical Report No. 244., 1982
- Brown, Jean E., 'Learning through Listening Strategies for Literature', *Language Arts Journal of Michigan*, 17.2 (2001), doi:10.9707/2168-149x.1316
- Brown, Steven, and Steven Randall Brown, *Listening Myths: Applying Second Language Research to Classroom Teaching* (University of Michigan Press, 2011)
- Brownell, Judi, Listening: Attitudes, Principles, and Skills, Sixth Edition, in Listening: Attitudes, Principles, and Skills, Sixth Edition (2017), doi:10.4324/9781315441764
- Cahyani, Nana Marlina, Edo Indriyanto, and Siti Masripah, 'Uji Validitas Dan Reabilitas Terhadap Implementasi Aplikasi Penjualan Dan Pembelian', *INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR EDUCATORS AND PROFESSIONALS*, 1.1 (2016), pp. 21–34
- Chelli, Saliha, 'An Investigation of the Listening Strategies Used by Second-Year EFL Students at Biskra University', *Sciences de l'Homme et de La Société*, 6 (2013)
- Chitprarop, Acharin, 'Cultivating Linguistic Listening: Unpacking EFL Accounting Undergraduates' Challenges and Empowering Strategies with Mobile-Assisted Language Learning.', *REFLections*, 31.1 (2024), pp. 235–54
- Chriswiyati, Eunike Putri, SOCIAL-AFFECTIVE STRATEGIES AND STUDENTS' LISTENING ANXIETY: A STUDY OF EFL LEARNERS IN INDONESIA © $U \times D$ W (n.d.)
- Clark, Alison, 'A Hundred Ways of Listening.', YC: Young Children, 62.3 (2007)
- Cresswell, James E, Nicolas Desneux, and Dennis VanEngelsdorp, 'Dietary Traces of Neonicotinoid Pesticides as a Cause of Population Declines in Honey Bees: An Evaluation by Hill's Epidemiological Criteria', *Pest Management Science*, 68.6 (2012), pp. 819–27
- Cresswell, John, Educational Research (2012)
- Creswell, John W, Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research (pearson, 2015)

- Donald, Ary, Jacobs Lucy, and K Sorensen Christine, 'Introduction to Research in Education Eight Edition', *Canada. Wdsworth*, 2010
- Etikan, Ilker, 'Comparison of Convenience Sampling and Purposive Sampling', *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 5.1 (2016), p. 1, doi:10.11648/j.ajtas.20160501.11
- Fadhly, D, and F Z Rahmatunisa, 'Listening Comprehension Study: Difficulties and Strategies Used by College Students', *Indonesian Journal of Learning and Instruction*, 4.1 (2021), p. 55, doi:10.25134/ijli.v4i1.4345
- Fathi, Jalil, Ali Derakhshan, and Saeede Torabi, 'The Effect of Listening Strategy Instruction on Second Language Listening Anxiety and Self-Efficacy of Iranian EFL Learners', *Sage Open*, 10.2 (2020), p. 2158244020933878
- Goh, Christine, and Larry Vandergrift, *Teaching and Learning Second Language Listening: Metacognition in Action* (2021), doi:10.4324/9780429287749
- Idrissova, Mapruza, Batagoz Smagulova, and Madina Tussupbekova, 'Improving Listening and Speaking Skills in Mixed Level Groups (on the Material of New English File)', *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 199 (2015), pp. 276–84, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.07.517
- Jou, Yi-Jiun, 'A Study of English Listening Strategies Applied by Technological University Students', 稻江學報, 4.2 (2010), pp. 127–42
- Keezhatta, Muhammed Salim, Zahoor Hussain, and Muhammad Ajmal, 'The Effect of Language Learning Strategies on Learners" Achievement and Attitude', *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24.4 (2020), pp. 7066–83
- Learner Strategies in Language Learning, n.d.
- Lewier, Christian Albert, and Priska Nendissa, 'Listening Strategies of Students at English Education Study Program of Pattimura University Ambon; Implication for Teaching Listening', *Jurnal Tahuri*, 17.2 (2020), pp. 96–110
- Luo, Zixu, 'A Review of Krashen's Input Theory', *Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 26 (2024), pp. 130–35, doi:10.54097/3fnf5786
- Mukminin, Amirul, and others, 'Learning Strategies Used by Efl Undergraduate Students Teachers for Listening Skill', *Ezikov Svyat*, 19.1 (2021), pp. 82–88
- Nation, I. S. P.., *Learning Vocabulary in Another Language* (Cambridge University Press, 20022001)
- Nowrouzi, Sara, and others, 'Self-Perceived Listening Comprehension Strategies Used by Iranian EFL Students', *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 3.6 (2014), pp. 35–41

- O'malley, J Michael, and Anna Uhl Chamot, *Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition* (Cambridge university press, 1990)
- Oxford's Classification of Language Learning Strategies (LLS) (1990), (n.d.)
- Paudel, Pitambar, 'Learning Strategies Employed in English Language: Perceptions and Practices', *Journal of NELTA Gandaki*, 1 (2019), pp. 87–99, doi:10.3126/jong.v1i0.24463
- Pourhosein Gilakjani, Abbas, and Mohammad Ahmadi, 'A Study of Factors Affecting EFL Learners' English Listening Comprehension and the Strategies for Improvement', *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 2 (2011), doi:10.4304/jltr.2.5.977-988
- Richards, Jack C, Teaching Listening and Speaking: From Theory to Practice (n.d.)
- ——, and Theodore S Rodgers, *Approacnes and Methods in Language Teaching* (1986)
- ——, and Richard W Schmidt, *Longman Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics* (Routledge, 2013)
- Rost, Michael, Teaching and Researching Listening 4th Edition, Michael Rost (Sample i-28)) (2024)
- Rubin, Joan, 'A Review of Second Language Listening Comprehension Research', *The Modern Language Journal*, 78.2 (1994), pp. 199–221
- Sudijono, Anas, Pengantar Evaluasi Pendidikan, 2013
- Teaching and Researching Listening Michael Rost Second Edition, (n.d.) www.pearson-books.com
- Teaching Listening, n.d.
- Vandergrift, Larry, and Christine Goh, 'Teaching and Testing Listening Comprehension', *The Handbook of Language Teaching*, 2009, pp. 395–411
- Vandergrift, Laurens, 'The Comprehension Strategies of Second Language (French) Listeners: A Descriptive Study', *Foreign Language Annals*, 30.3 (1997), pp. 387–409
- Watthajarukiat, Thitipat, Monta Chatupote, and Panida Sukseemuang, 'An Investigation of English Listening Strategies Used by Thai Undergraduate Students in Public Universities in the South', *Journal of Liberal Arts Prince of Songkla University*, 4.2 (2012), p. 1

A

P

P

E

N

D

I

C

E

S



KEMENTERIAN AGAMA REPUBLIK INDONESIA INSTITUT AGAMA ISLAM NEGERI CURUP

Jalan AK Gani No. 01 Kotak Pos 108 Telp. (0732) 21010-21759 Fax. 21010 Homepage: http://www.iaincurup.ac.id Email. admin@iaincurup.ac.id Kode Pos 39119

KARTU BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

NAMA		SOMIA NOVALISA
NIM	:	20551069
PROGRAM STUDI	:	Tadris Bahasa Inggris
FAKULTAS	:	Tarbiyah
DOSEN PEMBIMBING I	:	Dr. Leffi Noviyenti, M.pd
DOSEN PEMBIMBING II	:	Jumatul Hidoyah M.pd
JUDUL SKRIPSI	:	Students Learning Strategies in Listering Class
		A Study at English Study program 1AIN Curup
MULAI BIMBINGAN	:	12 November 2024
AKHIR BIMBINGAN		

NO	TANCCAL	MATERI RIMPINGANI	PARAF
NO	TANGGAL	MATERI BIMBINGAN	PEMBIMBING I
	12/2024 Hov	Revisi Judai	Allo
2.	12, 2024 14/0es	Bimbingan Bab III, TII	Ato!
Э.	11 Jan 2025		401 b
4.	03 Maret Dour	Bimbiggan Inframent	1-60
5.	24 Moret 2025	Revisi Inframent	Ø
6.	7 mei 2025	ACC Penelitian	
7.	2 1011 2028	Chapter IV	
8.	16 Juli 2025	Chaffer IV	
9.	21 2411 2025	Chapter IV	(2)
10.	28 Juli 2025	Chapter I	OX.
11.	4 Agustus 202	Chapter I	A)
12.	5 Azustu 2025	ACC	Ø ·

KAMI BERPENDAPAT BAHWA SKRIPSI INI SUDAH DAPAT DIAJUKAN UJIAN SKRIPSI IAIN CURUP,

Dr. Leffi Novig enti, M.Pd NIP. 19761106 290312 2 004 CURUP,202

PEMBIMBING II,

Jumatul Hidayah, M.Pd NIP. 19780224 200212 2 002

- Lembar **Depan** Kartu Biimbingan Pembimbing I Lembar **Belakang** Kartu Bimbingan Pembimbing II
- Kartu ini harap dibawa pada setiap konsultasi dengan Pembimbing I dan Pembimbing II



KEMENTERIAN AGAMA REPUBLIK INDONESIA INSTITUT AGAMA ISLAM NEGERI CURUP

Jalan AK Gani No. 01 Kotak Pos 108 Telp. (0732) 21010-21759 Fax. 21010 Homepage: http://www.iaincurup.ac.id Email: admin@iaincurup.ac.id Kode Pos 39119

KARTU BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

NAMA	: SONIA NOVALISA
NIM	20551069
PROGRAM STUDI	: Tadris Bahasa Inggris
FAKULTAS	: Tarbiyah
PEMBIMBING I	: Or- Leffi Wovigenti, M.pd
PEMBIMBING II	: Jumatul Hidayah. M.Pd
JUDUL SKRIPSI	Students Learning Strategies in Listening class A study at English Study Program IAIN Curup
MULAI BIMBINGANO AKHIR BIMBINGAN	:

NO	TANGGAL	MATERIRIMONICANI	PARAF			
NO	TANGGAL	MATERI BIMBINGAN	PEMBIMBING II			
1.	12/2024	Bimbingan Bab I	k			
2.	13/2024	Revisi Bab I, II, II	K			
3.	11/2025	Pairsi Bab III	*			
4.	03/2025	Revisi Bab III	k			
5.	22/ 2025	Bimbingan Bab TV	K			
6.	08/ 2025	Zevisi Bab TV	, t			
. 7.	07/05 2025	Poursi Bab II	k			
8.	03/06 2025	Pevisi Bab IV	K			
9.	17/06 2025	Revisi Bab I	*			
10.	107	Revisi Bab I	, k			
11.	29/ 2025	luisi Bab <u>V</u>	k			
12.	6/08 2025	Acc Ujian	1			

KAMI BERPENDAPAT BAHWA SKRIPSI INI SUDDAH DAPAT DIAJUKAN UJIAN SKRIPSI IAIN CURUP

> Pr. Leffi Novymi Yn Bl NIP. 19761106 203/2 2004

PEMBIMBING !

CURUP,202

PEMBIMBING II,

NIP. 1978 0224 200212 2002



Mengingat

KEMENTERIAN AGAMA REPUBLIK INDONESIA INSTITUT AGAMA ISLAM NEGERI CURUP FAKULTAS TARBIYAH

Alamat : Jalan DR. A.K. Gani No 1 Kotak Pos 108 Curup-Bengkulu Telpn. (0732) 21010 Fax. (0732) 21010 Homepage http://www.iaincurup.ac.id E-Mail : admin@iaincurup.ac.id

Nomor : 763 Tahun 2024

Tentang PENUNJUKAN PEMBIMBING 1 DAN 2 DALAM PENULISAN SKRIPSI INSTITUT AGAMA ISLAM NEGERI CURUP a. Bahwa untuk kelancaran penulisan skripsi mahasiswa, perlu ditunjuk dosen Pembimbing I

Menimbang

dan II yang bertanggung jawab dalam penyelesaian penulisan yang dimaksud; b.

Bahwa saudara yang namanya tercantum dalam Surat Keputusan ini dipandang cakap dan mampu serta memenuhi syarat untuk diserahi tugas sebagai pembimbing I dan II;

Undang-Undang Nomor 20 tahun 2003 tentang Sistem Pendidikan Nasional; Peraturan Presiden RI Nomor 24 Tahun 2018 tentang Institut Negeri Islam Curup;

Peraturan Menteri Agama RI Nomor : 30 Tahun 2018 tentang Organisasi dan Tata Kerja Institut Agama Islam Negeri Curup;

Keputusan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional RI Nomor 184/U/2001 tentang Pedoman Pengawasan Pengendalian dan Pembinaan Program Diploma, Sarjana dan Pascasarjana di Perguruan Tinggi;

Keputusan Menteri Agama RI Nomor 019558/B.II/3/2022, tanggal 18 April 2022 tentang Pengangkatan Rektor IAIN Curup Periode 2022 - 2026.

Keputusan Direktur Jenderal Pendidikan Islam Nomor : 3514 Tahun 2016 Tanggal 21 oktober 2016 tentang Izin Penyelenggaraan Program Studi pada Program Sarjana STAIN Curup

Keputusan Rektor IAIN Curup 0704/In.34/R/KP.07.6/09/2023 tanggal 29 September 2023 tantang Pengangkatan Dekar Fakultas Tarbiyah Institut Agama Islam Negeri Curup.

Permohonan Saudara Sonia Novalisa tanggal 18 November 2024 dan kelengkapan Memperhatikan persyaratan pengajuan Pembimbing Skripsi

Berita Acara Seminar Proposal Pada Hari Kamis tanggal 25 Juli 2024

MEMUTUSKAN:

Menetapkan

Dr. Leffi Noviyenti, M.Pd Pertama Jumatul Hidayah, M.Pd

19761106 200312 2 004 19780224 200212 2 002

Dosen Institut Agama Islam Negeri (IAIN) Curup masing-masing sebagai Pembimbing I

dan II dalam penulisan skripsi mahasiswa:

: Sonia Novalisa NAMA

20551069 NIM

: Exploring Students Note-Taking Strategies in JUDUL SKRIPSI

Listening Comprehension
Proses bimbingan dilakukan sebanyak 12 kali pembimbing I dan 12 kali pembimbing II Kedua

dibuktikan dengan kartu bimbingan skripsi ;

Pembimbing I bertugas membimbing dan mengarahkan hal-hal yang berkaitan dengan Ketiga

substansi dan konten skripsi. Untuk pembimbing II bertugas dan mengarahkan dalam penggunaan bahasa dan metodologi penulisan ;

Kepada masing-masing pembimbing diberi honorarium sesuai dengan peraturan yang Keempat berlaku:

Surat Keputusan ini disampaikan kepada yang bersangkutan untuk diketahui dan Kelima dilaksanakan sebagaimana mestinya;

Keputusan ini berlaku sejak ditetapkan dan berakhir setelah skripsi tersebut dinyatakan sah Keenam

oleh IAIN Curup atau masa bimbingan telah mencapai 1 tahun sejak SK ini ditetapkan ;

Apabila terdapat kekeliruan dalam surat keputusan ini, akan diperbaiki sebagaimana Ketuiuh mestinya sesuai peraturan yang berla ku;

Ditetapkan di Curup,

Rada tanggal 18 November 2024 Kan CRIAN AG

Tembusan: Rektor

Bendahara IAIN Curup;

Kabag Akademik kemahasiswaan dan kerja sama;

 No	Aspect	Indicators	Items	SD	D	A	SA
1.	Students Strategies	Cognitive	1. I summarize key points				
	Use in Learning		while listening to enhance				
	English for		comprehension.				
	Listening Class		2. I take notes while listening				
	Zistennig exite		to retain important details.				
			3. I predict the meaning of				
			unfamiliar words based on				
			context.				
1							
			(4) I create mental images to				
			help understand spoken				
			information.				
		(.	(5) I create mental images to				
		()	help understand spoken	~			
1			information.				
			6. I focus on keywords to				
			grasp the main idea of the				
1	*		listening material.	-	-	-	-
	,		(7) I pay attention to				
			pronunciation and grammar				
1			structures while listening.			1	
			(8) I use prior knowledge to				
	*		infer the meaning of spoken		1		
			content.		1		
				-	-	-	-
			9. I rely on tone, speed, and				
			pauses to interpret the		1	1	
			speaker's meaning.	-	-	-	-
			(10) I mentally translate words				
			into my native language while		1	1	
			listening.				
		Meta	11. Before listening, I set				
	1	Cognitive	learning objectives for				
		Cognitive	comprehension.				
				-	-	-	-
			12) I check my understanding				
	,		while listening and adjust my			1	
			approach if needed.			-	
			13. After listening, I reflect on				
			my understanding and				
			identify areas for				
			improvement.				
			14. I maintain focus and avoid	1			
1					1	1	
			distractions during listening				
			activities.	-	-	-	-
			13 I adjust my listening				
			strategies based on the				
			difficulty of the material.				
			(16.) I ask for clarification				
			when I do not understand a		1		
1	I .	1	I do not minor bedied to	I	1	1	1

	,			
		(17) I ask myself questions		
		about the content to check my		
		comprehension.		
		[18] I recognize my strengths		
1		and weaknesses in listening		
		skills.		
		19. I set specific goals to		
		improve my listening skills.		
		(20)I change my listening		
		strategies depending on the		
		type of listening material.		
	Socio	21. I discuss listening tasks	-	_
	Affective	with classmates to enhance		
	Affective			
		comprehension.		
		22. I ask for assistance from		
1		teachers or peers when I face		
		listening difficulties.		
		23. I actively participate in		
		group discussions related to		
		listening exercises.		
-		24. I motivate myself to keep		
		improving my listening skills		
		despite difficulties.		
		25. I feel confident when		
		engaging in English listening		
		activities.		
		26. I stay calm and manage		
. 1		anxiety when listening to		
		difficult English content.		
		27. I use positive self-talk to		
1	-			
1		encourage myself during		
		listening tasks.		
		(28) I actively participate in		- 1
		listening-related class		
		activities.		
		(29) I manage frustration when		
		I do not fully understand a		
1		listening task.		
		(30) I enjoy listening to		
		English materials both inside		
		and outside the classroom.		

QUESTIONNAIRE

THE REASONS IN USING THE STRATEGIES

Aspect	Strategies	Reasons	SD	D	A	SA
		1. Summarizes key ideas				
		effectively.				
		2. Create a better understanding				
		3. Strengthens the ability to				
		extract key information.				
		4 Helps grasp spoken content				
		more effectively.	_			
		5. Improves recall and analytical				
		skills.				_
	Cognitive	6. Create a focus to the				
		important information		-		
		7. Enhances comprehension				
		through attention to detail.	-	-	_	-
		8. Deepens overall				
		comprehension.		-	_	-
		9. Improves comprehension by				
		recognizing speech nuances.	-	-		-
		10. Influences comprehension				
		speed.	-	-	-	-
		11. Boosts accuracy in tasks or				
The Reasons in		understanding.	-	-	-	-
Using the		12 Helps adjust strategies				-
strategies		effectively	+-	-	-	-
		13. Sharpens the ability to				
		process spoken information.	-	-	-	-
		14. Facilitates understanding of				
	Metacognitive	new or unfamiliar content.	+-	+	\vdash	+
		(15. Enhances performance				
		through adaptable listening.	+	+	+	+
		16. Enhances the accuracy of what is heard.				
		17. Helps check comprehension.	-		+	+
		18. Allows for more effective	-	+	+	+
		listening.				
		19. Helps maintain focus and	-	+		+
		improves grasp of a subject.				
		20Helps with better		+	-	+
		understanding through strategy				
		changes.				
		21. Supports better focus and	1	+	+	+
	Socio-	understanding.				
	Affective	22. Provides a positive boost to		+		
	7111001170	overall capability				

	23. Assists in overcoming listening challenges.
	(24) Helps me become a better listener.
	(25). Improves comprehension ability through confidence.
v	26. Develops abilities progressively over time
	27. Increases willingness to engage.
	28. Enhances comprehension through active participation.
	(29. Helps in persisting in learning.
	30. Offers opportunities to address and fix weaknesses.

A. Cognitive

1 Strongly Suggest to remove ilems number 4.5.7.0 and 10 for it's non-practical application in classroom

- B. Nieta Cognitive

 [tens number 12, 15, 16, 17, and 20 are hard to apply in classification for it; difficulties
- c. Socio Affectivo

 items number 25,27,28,29,30 are self engage Strategies which
 depends on Students self awareness. Matting it hard to apply

02

A. Cognitive

Herns 2.3,5,6,8 measure core undastanding and information processing.

That Focus on direct results lake extracting less information and improving recall.

B. Meta Cognitive

Hens number 11,13,14,16,13 assesses self-awareness and strategic thingeing. They evaluate a person's ability to adapt listening methods and Motifer their own complehension.

C. Socio Affective Item 21,22,27,26,30 aldres emotional and Mobile tional aspects. They measure confidence, resilience, and the bares that listening skills can be developed.

Curup, 15 April 2025

HENI AFRIANTI S.Pdi M.Pd

				Cognitive				Σ	Meta-cognitive	9			So	Socio-affective	43		
Š	Name	10	02	60	6	60	90	70	80	60	Q10	011	012	013	Q14	915	Total
-	Dwi Suryani	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	47
2.	Donna Selfi Ananda	3	3	4	3	3	3	ю	3	3	4	3	3	2	2	3	45
3.		3	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	47
4	Muhammad Farhan Pasha	4	3	4	4	3	3	ю	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	53
ĸ;	Lisna Ariani	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	54
9	Tia Apanka	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	54
7.	Melca Putri Marleza	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	52
œ	Densi Adelya	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	48
9.	Abdullah Azzam	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	53
≘ .	Anju Pangestu	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	58
Ξ ·	Dea Kifka Alisyah	4	4	4	4	3	33	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	57
12		4	3	4	3	3	33	4	4	3	3		8	4	3	4	51
13	Bunga Febriana	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	4	57
4 ·	Helza Marta Inda	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	e	4	3	4	58
<u> 5</u>	15 Olievia Agustien	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	09

e e	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514
	996550	0,59747	0,56508	0,62795	0,53040	0,65234	0,55477	0,59747	0,61464	0,59747	0,54747	0,61464	0,53289	0,52774	0,59134
ш	5	2	9	5	6	2	9	2	4	2	8	4	3	9	4
=	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid

Validity																
r Table	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	
Count	99655,0	0,59747	0,56508	0,62795	0,53040	0,65234	0,55477 0,5	0,59747	0,61464	0,59747	0,54747	0,61464 0	0,53289	0,52774	0,59134	
Result	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	1 B	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	
ilohilife.			b											2		
Variant	0,23809	0,25714	0,20952	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0,25714	0,25714	0,26666	0,25714		0,25714	0,4	0,26666	0,26666 0,54285 0,35238	0,35238	0,23809	21,3523
ariants	4,32381															
Fotal Variant	21,3523															
Result	0,88457							Reliabel	abel							

20 O16 O17 O18 O18 O18 O19 O18 O19		•			Cognitive				Σ	Meta-cognitive	و			S	Socio-affective	٠		
Dwi Suryani	_	Name	910	710	919	610	Q20	120	022	Q23	Q24	925	970	Q27	028	670	030	Total
Hesti Adininggar 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		Dwi Suryani	4	4	8	4	8	4	8	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	52
Hestl Adlininggar		Donna Selfi Ananda		ю	ю	3	4	3	3	3	3	6	4	2	2	3	4	46
Muhammad Farhan 3 4 3 Pasha 3 3 3 3 Lisna Ariani 3 3 3 3 Tia Apanka 3 4 4 4 Mera Putri Marieza 3 4 4 4 Abdulbah Azzam 4 4 4 4 Anju Pangestu 4 4 4 4 Chyuthia Monicha 4 4 4 4 Buga Febriana 4 4 4 4 Buga Febriana 4 4 3 4		Hesti Adininggar	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	47
Lisna Ariani		Muhammad Farhan Pasha	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	55
Tia Apanka 3 3 3 Melea Putri Marleza 3 4 4 Densi Adelya 4 3 2 Abdullah Azam 4 4 4 Anju Pangestu 4 4 4 Dea Kifka Alisyah 4 4 4 Chynthia Monicha 4 3 4 Bunga Febriana 4 3		Lisna Ariani	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	43
Melca Putri Marleza 3 4 4 Densi Adelya 4 3 2 Abdullah Azam 4 4 4 Anju Pangestu 4 4 4 Dea Kifka Alisyah 4 4 4 Chynthia Monicha 4 3 4 Bunga Febriana 4 4 3		Tia Apanka	3	3	ю	3	3	2	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	49
Densi Adelya 4 3 2 Abdullah Azam 4 4 4 Anju Pangestu 4 4 4 Dea Kifka Alisyah 4 4 4 Chynthia Monicha 4 3 4 Bunga Febriana 4 4 3		Melca Putri Marleza	3	4	4	3	33	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	51
Abdullah Azzam 4 4 4 4 Anju Pangestu 4 4 4 4 Dea Kifka Alisyah 4 4 4 4 Chynthia Monicha 4 3 4 Bunga Febriana 4 3 3		Densi Adelya	4	ю	2	е	6	2	ю	3	3	8	4	3	3	2	3	44
Anju Pangestu 4 4 4 4 Dea Kifika Alisyah 4 4 4 4 Chynthia Monicha 4 3 4 Bunga Febriana 4 4 3		Abdullah Azzam	4	4	4	4	4	3	33	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	54
Dea Kifka Alisyah 4 4 4 4 4 Chynthia Monieha 4 3 4 Bunga Febriana 4 4 3 3		Anju Pangestu	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	53
Chynthia Monicha 4 3 4 Bunga Febriana 4 4 3		Dea Kifka Alisyah	4	4	4	8	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	54
Bunga Febriana 4 4 3		Chynthia Monicha	4	8	4	4	4	3	4	3	8	4	4	4	4	4	4	56
_	-	Bunga Febriana	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	56
. Heiza Marta Inda		Helza Marta Inda	4	4	4	8	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	58
. Olievia Agustien 4 3 3 3	_	Olievia Agustien	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	4	52

| Valid |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ~ | œ | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0,52057 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 0,52057 | 7 | ∞ | 4 |
| 0,59014 | 0,59014 | 0,55084 | 0,51523 | 0,52095 | 0,55203 | | 0,55212 | 0,56341 | 0,61129 | 0,56306 | | 0,63831 | 0,67258 |),52136 |
| 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 | 0,514 |

Validity																
r Table	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514		0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	0,514	
	0,52136	0,67258	0,63831		0,56306	0	0,56341	0,55212	ı	0,55203	0	0,51523	0,55084	0,59014	0,59014	
r Count	4	œ	7	0,52057	9	4	9	4	0,52057	3	4	4	3	∞	∞	
Result	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	Valid	
Reliability																
	0,25714	0,26666	0,38095	0,23809	0,23809	0,35238 0,17142 0,26666	0,17142	0,26666	0,23809	0,25714	0,20952	0,35238	0,40952			21,0952
Variant	3	7	2	5	5	-	6	7	5		4	-	4	0,4	0,4	4
	4,43809															
Variants	5															
	21,0952															
Total Variant	4															
	0,88229															
Result	9							Reliabel	ıbel							

Correlations

	Notes	
Output Created		20-APR-2025 04:41:35
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet0
	Filter	<none></none>
	Weight	<none></none>
	Split File	<none></none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	15
Missing Value	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing
Handling		values are treated as
		missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics for each pair of
		variables are based on
		all the cases with valid
		data for that pair.
Syntax		CORRELATIONS
		NARIABLES=Q1 Q2 Q3
		Q4 Q5 Q6 Q7 Q8 Q9
		Q10 Q11 Q12 Q13 Q14
		Q15 TOTAL
		/PRINT=TWOTAIL
		NOSIG FULL
		/MISSING=PAIRWISE.
Resources	Processor Time	90'00:00:00
	F	
	Elapsed lime	80,00:00:00

[DataSet0]

Correlations

		ğ	02	8	Q 4	92	90	07	80	60	010	Q11	
2	Pearson Correlation	-	.289	.533*	000	.289	.289	.189	.289	.189	.289	.231	
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.297	.041	1.000	.297	.297	.500	.297	.500	.297	.407	
	z	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	

.289 1 .123 .444 .389 .297 .662 .097 .152
15 15
533* .123 1 .123
.662 .6
15 15 1
.000 .444 .123 1
1.000 .097 .662
15 15 15 15
.289 .389 .492 .111
297 .152 .062 .693
15 15 15 15
289 .389 .492 .389
297 .152 .062 .152
15 15 15 15
.189 .327 .040 .327
.500 .234 .887 .234
15 15 15 15
.289 .444 .431 .444
790. 001. 790. 792.
15 15 15 15
.189 .327 .040 .600*
500 .234 .887 .018
15 15 15 15
289 .167 .739** .444
297 .553 .002 .097
15 15 15 15

Q11 Pearson Correlation	Sig. (2-tailed)	z	Q12 Pearson	Sia. (2-tailed)	Z	Q13 Pearson	Correlation	Sig. (2-tailed)	z	Q14 Pearson	Correlation	Sig. (2-tailed)	z	Q15 Pearson	Correlation	Sig. (2-tailed)	z	TOTAL Pearson	Correlation	Sig. (2-tailed)	
tion	tailed)		Lion	hailed)		_	tion	tailed)		_	tion	tailed)		_	tion	tailed)		_	tion	tailed)	
.231	407	15	.189	200	15	.199		.478	15	.575		.025	15	.400		.140	15	.560		.030	4
.312	.258	15	.327	234	15	.268		335	15	380		.163	15	000		.140 1.000	15	.597*		.019	7
.148	.599	15	.342	211	15	.127		.652	15	.280		.311	15	.213		.446	15	.565		.028	4
680	.752	15	*009	018	15	.268		335	15	.142		.613	15	.577*			15	.628*		.012	1.
.356	.192	15	.218	435	15	.115		.684	15	.332		.226	15	000		.024 1.000	15	.530*		.042	7
.134	.635	15	.491	.063	15	.115		.684	15	.332		.226	15	.289		.297	15	.652**		.008	4
.612"	.015	15	.196	483	15	.338		.218	15	031		.912	15	.472		.075	15	.555			117
680	.752	15	.055	847		.459		.218 .085	15	.142		.912 .613	15	.289		.075 .297	15	.597*		.032 .019	4
.612*	.015	15	.464	081	15	.150		.593	15	.202		.470	15	.472		.075	15	.615*		.015	7
.312	.258	15	.327	234	15	920		.786	15	.142		.613	15	.289		.297	15	.597*		.019	4
_		15	.175	533	15	.245		.378	15	920.		.787	15	.231		.407	15	.547*		.035	4

Correlations

		Q12	013	014	015	Q12 Q13 Q14 Q15 TOTAL
۵1 م	Pearson Correlation	.189	.189 .199 .575*	.575	.400	.560*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.500	500 .478 .025	.025	.140	.030
	z	15	15	15	15	15
05	Pearson Correlation	.327	.268	.380	000	.597
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.234	.335		.163 1.000	.019
	z	15	15	15	15	15
03	Pearson Correlation	.342	.127	.280	.213	.565
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.211	.652	.311	.446	.028
	z	15	15	15	15	15
04	Pearson Correlation .600*	.009	.268	.142	.577	.628*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.018	.335	.613	.024	.012
	z	15	15	15	15	15

00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Sig. (2-tailed) N Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation N Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation	.435 15 .491 .063	.684 .115 .684	.332	1.000 15 .289	.042
	9. (2-tailed) aerson Correlation g. (2-tailed) aerson Correlation g. (2-tailed) aerson Correlation aerson Correlation	15 .491	15 .115 .684	15	15	15 652**
	g. (2-tailed) aarson Correlation g. (2-tailed) g. (2-tailed) g. (2-tailed) g. (2-tailed) aarson Correlation	.063	.115	.332	289	.652**
	g. (2-tailed) aarson Correlation g. (2-tailed) asrson Correlation g. (2-tailed) aarson Correlation	.063	.684		297	
	g. (2-tailed) aarson Correlation g. (2-tailed) g. (2-tailed) aarson Correlation			.226		.008
	g. (2-tailed) aarson Correlation g. (2-tailed) g. (2-tailed) aarson Correlation	15	15	15	15	15
	g. (2-tailed) aarson Correlation g. (2-tailed) aarson Correlation	.196	.338	031	.472	.555*
	g. (2-tailed)	.483	.218	.912	.075	.032
	g. (2-tailed) earson Correlation	15	15	15	15	15
	g. (2-tailed) earson Correlation	.055	.459	.142	.289	.597*
	earson Correlation	.847	.085	.613	.297	.019
	earson Correlation	15	15	15	15	15
		.464	.150	.202	.472	.615
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.081	.593	.470	.075	.015
		15	15	15	15	15
S	Pearson Correlation	.327	920.	.142	289	.597*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.234	.786	.613	.297	.019
Z		15	15	15	15	15
Q11 Pe	Pearson Correlation	.175	.245	920.	.231	.547*
S	Sig. (2-tailed)	.533	.378	787.	.407	.035
Z		15	15	15	15	15
Q12 Pe	Pearson Correlation	_	.150	.435	.472	.615
S	Sig. (2-tailed)		593	.105	920	.015
Z		15	15	15	15	15
Q13 Pe	Pearson Correlation	.150	-	.392	397	.533*
S	Sig. (2-tailed)	.593		.148	.142	.041
Z		15	15	15	15	15
Q14 Pe	Pearson Correlation	.435	392	_	.082	.528*
S	Sig. (2-tailed)	.105	.148		177.	.043
Z		15	15	15	15	15
Q15 Pe	Pearson Correlation	.472	397	.082	_	.591
S	Sig. (2-tailed)	.075	.142	.771		.020
Z		15	15	15	15	15
TOTAL Pe	Pearson Correlation	.615	.533	.528*	.591	_
S	Sig. (2-tailed)	.015	.041	.043	.020	
Z		15	15	15	15	15

Correlations

20-7	aset DataS	2000
-	Active Dataset	Littor

Notes

Output Created Comments Input		20-APR-2025 04:45:01
Comments		
Input		
	Active Dataset	DataSet0
	Filter	<nov< td=""></nov<>
	Weight	<none></none>
	Split File	<none></none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	15
Missing Value	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing
Handling		values are treated as
		missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics for each pair of
		variables are based on
		all the cases with valid
		data for that pair.
Syntax		CORRELATIONS
		WARIABLES=Q1 Q2 Q3
		Q4 Q5 Q6 Q7 Q8 Q9
		Q10 Q11 Q12 Q13 Q14
		Q15 TOTAL
		/PRINT=TWOTAIL
		NOSIG FULL
		/MISSING=PAIRWISE.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00:00
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00

Correlations

Pearson 1 Correlation Sig. (2-tailed)	02	00								
- π		3	04	05	90	07	08	60	Q10	011
Ť.	.327	228	289		380	990	.055	289	111	.739**
7	.234	.413	297	297	.163	.810	.847	.297	.693	.002
2	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Q2 Pearson .327	-	.523	378	189	.069	134	.464	.661**	055	.342

	Sig. (2-tailed)	.234		.045	.165	.500	.021	.635	.081	700.	.847	.211
	z	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
03	Pearson Correlation	.228	.523*	-	.079	395	.260	.280	.299	.316	000	.084
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.413	.045		.779	.145	349	.313	.279	.251	1.000	.765
	z	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
24	Pearson Correlation	.289	.378	.079	~	200	.575	.354	.094	.400	.289	.426
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.297	.165	.779		475	.025	.196	.738	.140	.297	.113
	z	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Q5	Pearson Correlation	.289	.189	395	.200	-	4.	.354	.189	.200	.289	.533
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.297	.500	.145	.475		.128	.196	.500	.475	.297	.04
	z	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
90	Pearson	.380	.590	.260	.575	411	-	.058	.124	.329	.095	.456
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.163	.021	.349	.025	.128		.837	.659	.231	.737	.088
	z	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
07	Pearson	.068	.134	.280	.354	354	.058	-	134	.354	.612	.302
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.810	.635	.313	.196	196	.837		.635	.196	.015	.275
	z	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
86	Pearson Correlation	.055	464	.299	.094	.189	.124	.134	~	.378	.491	040
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.847	.081	.279	.738	.500	.659	.635		.165	.063	.887
	z	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
60	Pearson Correlation	.289	.661**	.316	.400	200	.329	.354	.378	-	000	.426
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.297	700.	.251	.140	475	.231	.196	.165		1.000	.113
	z	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Q10	Pearson Correlation	Ë	055	000	.289	.289	.095	,612	.491	.000	-	.185
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.693	.847	1.000	.297	.297	.737	.015	.063	1.000		.510
	z	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Q11	Pearson	.739**	342	.084	.426	.533	.456	.302	.040	.426	.185	-

N N N Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) 11 Pearson Sig. (2-tailed) 12 N N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) 15 Sig. (2-tailed) 16 N N Pearson Sig. (2-tailed) 17 N N Pearson Sig. (2-tailed) 18 N N Sig. (2-tailed) 18 N N Sig. (2-tailed) 18 Sig. (2-tailed) 18 N N Sig. (2-tailed) 18 Sig		Sig. (z-tailed)	.002	002 .211 .765 .113 .041 .088 .275 .887	.765	.113	.041	.088	275	887	.113 .510	.510	
Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N N All Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N N All Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N N N Sig. (2-tailed) N N Sig. (2-tailed) N N N N N N N N N N Sig. (2-tailed) N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		z	15			15	15	15	15 15		15	15	15
Sig. (2-tailed) Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N N Pearson N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Q12	Pearson Correlation	.380	031	.130		.082	.054	.349	.435	082	.807	.018
N N Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N N Pearson Sig. (2-tailed) N N N N Sig. (2-tailed) N N N Sig. (2-tailed) N N N Sig. (2-tailed) Sig. (Sig. (2-tailed)	.163	.912	.644	.558	771	.848	.203	.105	771	<,001	951
Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N AL Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N Sig. (2-tailed)		z	15		15		15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Sig. (2-tailed) N Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	013	Pearson	.176	.490	.482	.152	152	.088	.431	274	.381	.381 .264	033
N N Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		Sig. (2-tailed)	.530		690		587	756	.108	.323	161	.341	908
Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N N Correlation Sig. (2-tailed)		z	15		15		15	15	15	15	15		15
	Q14	Pearson	134		.549*	.231	.463	.457	.491	.175	000	.356	099
on siled)		Correlation											
on ailed) on		Sig. (2-tailed)	.635	147	.034	.407	.082	.087	.063	.533	1.000	.192	.726
on ailed) on ailed)		z	15		15		15	15	15	15	15	15	15
on ailed) on ailed)	10	Pearson	.356		.549		.463	.304	.055	.481	000	.312	345
on ailed)		Correlation											
on ailed)		Sig. (2-tailed)	.192		.034	1.000	.082	.270	.847	690	1.000	.258	.207
on ailed)		z	15		15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
o,	Z	Pearson	.521	.673**	.638	.521*	.563	.611	.563	.552*	.521*	.552*	.521
o.		Correlation											
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.046	900	.010	.047	.029	.015	.029	.033	.047	.033	.046
N 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13		z	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15

	Corr	Correlations	ns			
		Q12	Q13	Q13 Q14	Q15	Q15 TOTAL
5	Pearson Correlation	.380	176	134	.356	.521*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.163	.530	.635	.192	.046
	Z	15	15	15	15	15
02	Pearson Correlation	031	.490	394	.262	.673**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.912	.064	.147	345	900
	Z	15	15	15	15	15
03	Pearson Correlation	.130	.482	.549*	.549*	.638
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.644	690	.034	.034	.010
	z	15	15	15	15	15
94	Pearson Correlation	.164	.152	.231	000	.521
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.558	587		407 1.000	.047
	Z	15	15	15	15	15
92	Pearson Correlation	.082	.082152	.463	.463	.563*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.771	771 .587	.082	.082	.029

	Z	7,	7	7	7	7,
90	Pearson Correlation	.054	.088	4	Ç.	.611
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.848	.756	780.	.270	.015
	z	15	15	15	15	15
Q7	Pearson Correlation	.349	.431	.491	.055	.563
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.203	.108	.063	.847	.029
	z	15	15	5	15	15
80	Pearson Correlation	.435	.274	.175	.481	.552*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.105	.323	.533	690	.033
	z	15	15	15	15	15
60	Pearson Correlation	082	.381	000	000	.521
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.771	.161	1.000	1.000	.047
	z	15	15	15	15	15
Q10	Pearson Correlation	.807	.264	.356	.312	.552*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	341	.192	.258	.033
	z	15	15	15	15	15
Q11	Pearson Correlation	.018	033	099	.345	.521
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.951	908	.726	.207	.046
	z	15	15	5	15	15
Q12	Pearson Correlation	-	.401	.266	304	.515
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.138	.337	.270	.049
	z	15	15	15	15	15
Q13	Pearson Correlation	.401	_	.388	141	.551
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.138		.153	.616	.033
	z	15	15	15	15	15
Q14	Pearson Correlation	.266	.388	_	.250	.590
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.337	.153		369	.021
	z	15	15	15	15	15
Q15	Pearson Correlation	304	.141	.250	-	.590
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.270	.616	.369		.021
	z	15	15	15	15	15
TOTAL	. Pearson Correlation	.515	.551	.590*	.590*	_
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.049	.033	.021	.021	
	Z	15	15	15	15	15

Reliability

Notes

Output Created	ted	20-APR-2025 04:43:20
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset DataSet0	DataSet0
	Filter	<none></none>
	Weight	<none></none>
	Split File	<none></none>
	N of Rows in	15
	Working Data	
	Matrix Input	
Missing	Definition of	User-defined missing values are
Value	Missing	treated as missing.
Handling	Cases Used	Statistics are based on all cases
		with valid data for all variables in
		the procedure.
Syntax		RELIABILITY
		//ARIABLES=Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5
		Q6 Q7 Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11 Q12 Q13
		Q14 Q15
		/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
		/MODEL=ALPHA
		/SUMMARY=TOTAL.
Resources	Processor	00:00:00'05
	ıme	
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

Cases Valid	Valid	15	100.0
	Excludeda	0	0.
	Total	15	100.0
a. Listw	ise deletion	a. Listwise deletion based on all	
variable	variables in the procedure.	cedure.	

Reliability Statistics
Cronbach's
Alpha N of Items .854 15

Item-Total Statistics

	Cronbach's	Alpha if Item	Deleted	.846	.844	.846	.842	.848	.841
	Corrected	Item-Total	Correlation	.481	.520	.492	.554	.445	.582
	Scale	Variance if	Item Deleted	19.067	18.810	19.171	18.667	19.124	18.552
Scale	Mean if	Item	Deleted	49.27	49.33	49.20	49.33	49.53	49.53
				۵ 1	02	03	Q4	92	90

72	49.40	18.971	.470	.847
08	49.33	18.810	.520	.844
60	49.40	18.686	.538	.843
010	49.33	18.810	.520	.844
Q11	49.53	18.552	.441	.849
212	49.40	18.686	.538	.843
213	49.53	18.267	.404	.854
214	49.67	18.810	.425	.850
215	49.27	18.924	.516	.844

Reliability

Notes

Output Created	ted	20-APR-2025 04:45:53
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset DataSet0	DataSet0
	Filter	<none></none>
	Weight	<none></none>
	Split File	<none></none>
	N of Rows in	15
	Working Data File	
	Matrix Input	
Missing	Definition of	User-defined missing values are
Value	Missing	treated as missing.
Handling	Cases Used	Statistics are based on all cases
		with valid data for all variables in
		the procedure.
Syntax		RELIABILITY
		//ARIABLES=Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5
		Q6 Q7 Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11 Q12 Q13
		Q14 Q15
		/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
		/MODEL=ALPHA
		/SUMMARY=TOTAL.
Resources	Processor	00:00:00
	Time	
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

z

Cases Valid	Valid		15	100.0
	Excludeda	eqa	0	0.
	Total		15	100.0
a. Listw	ise dele	tion	a. Listwise deletion based on all	
variable	variables in the procedure.	bro	sedure.	
Relia	Reliability Statistics	tati	stics	
Cronk	Cronbach's			
Alp	Alpha	N	N of Items	
	.846		15	

Item-Total Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted	.839	.830	.832	.839	.836	.834
Corrected Item-Total Correlation	.434	.604	.548	.437	.484	.520
Scale Variance if Item Deleted	18.924	18.171	17.857	19.000	18.810	18.114
Scale Mean if Item Deleted	47.73	47.80	48.00	48.00	47.67	48.40
	Q	02	Q3	Q4	Q5	90

72	48.13	19.124	.497	.837
08	47.80	18.743	.466	.837
39	48.00	19.000	.437	.839
210	47.93	18.781	.468	.837
211	47.60	19.114	.443	.839
212	48.07	18.638	.411	.841
213	47.87	18.267	.442	.839
214	47.93	18.067	.489	.836
215	47.73	18.067	.489	.836

The Result (Raw Data) of Students' Strategies Use in Learning English for Listening Class Questionnaire

A 1. 1. 1. 1. 7 A			-							NIO	1	714	CIVI	4	KIS	Iotal
Abdul Karim Amrullan	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	27
Agnes Feronika	3	3	4	4	2	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	52
Ahsanu izi Tak wima	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	52
Aisyah Nurhidayah	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	48
Anne Adeliyah	3	3	4	3	4	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	3	3	43
Annisa Olimpia	3	3	4	Э	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	54
Delfi Rara Anjesika	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	49
DIO PUTRA RAMADHAN	3	1	3	4	4	2	3	4	3	3	1	1	2	3	3	40
Dioba meliza karlita	4	1	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	2	3	2	4	20
Dwi Aninda Sari	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	3	3	2	48
Dwi Indah Sari	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	50
Dwiki Farhan Hafizh	2	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	50
Ester Rindi Prastika	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	47
Fahmi Alfarissi	4	4	3	2	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	44
Frinda Afrilia Maharani	4	3	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	3	4	46
Gita Indah Cahyani	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	45
Gustiantara	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	45
Irpan Hidayat	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	1	47
Jesi Nuraini	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	co	3	3	3	3	48
Laras Ariani	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	46
Leza Melfia	3	3	3	4	3	2	3	2	2	4	3	4	2	3	3	44
Lova novriati	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	2	4	4	53
M Arya Nando	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	48
M. Ikhsan Fachrizal	3	3	4	4	-	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	51
Mar'atul hairiyah	3	3	-	3	3	4	3	3	2	3	4	3	4	3	3	45
Melati Puspita	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	7	3	2	3	3	20
Mercy Allillah	4	4	3	7	3	_	3	4	3	3	4	4	7	4	2	46

	_		_				_	_			_	_					_										_	_
43	52	46	46	40	51	45	51	41	48	99	48	40	45	45	47	46	49	45	54	45	48	42	41	47	50	40	51	45
3	4	4	2	2	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	3	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	4
3	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	2	3	4	2	3	3
2	Э	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	4	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	4	3	3	3	2
3	4	2	3	3	4	3	4	2	3	4	4	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	4	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3
n	Э	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	Э	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	2	3	3	4	2	4	2
2	3	3	3	2	4	3	4	1	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	2	2	3
4	4	4	3	2	4	3	2	3	3	4	æ	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	2	4	4	2	3	3	3	4	æ
4	2	4	3	2	3	3	4	3	4	3	n	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	n
2	æ	4	3	3	3	3	Э	2	3	4	æ	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	2	3	3	4	2	7	n
2	4	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	2	4	2	3	3
3	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	æ	4	2	3	3	4	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	n
æ	n	4	3	3	3	3	4	2	4	4	4	3	æ	3	4	4	Э	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	4
n	4	4	3	3	2	3	n	7	æ	4	w	3	3	3	3	4	n	æ	ж	4	3	4	ж	3	3	3	4	w
ω	4	2	3	3	4	3	n	æ	4	4	n	3	3	3	3	3	æ	Э	4	2	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	m
æ	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	Э	Э	Э	n	3	ж	3	3	3	4	ж	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	æ
Mhita Rima Melati	Muhammad attila rois	Muhammad Razieg	Nafisah Kamal	Naila shafira putri	Nanda dwi masta	Nazlah	Niko Anugrah	Nur Aulia Nesa Afifah	Rahma Madani	Rajes sadeva	Reni Diani Larasati	Repyan Rahayu	Resti Yolanda	Rifki wijayani	Rimalismadona	Samqina	Silvia Ralika Putri	Sinta Nia Yunisa	Sintia	Sri Kusuma	Stefhanie Putri Cusa Gempar	Syefri Yanti	Titik sandora	Viona rosalita	Vioni Cahya Mutiara	Welinsah Yusat Wika	Winda Lestari	Wulandari

The Result (Raw Data) of The Reasons in Using the Strategies Questionnaire

Abdul Karim Amrullah Agnes Feronika Ahsanu izi Tak wima Aisyah Nurhidayah	4						, , ,	NO	C	NIO		7117	CIV	4	CIX	lotal
Agnes Feronika Ahsanu izi Tak wima Aisyah Nurhidayah	۲	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	99
Ahsanu izi Tak wima Aisyah Nurhidayah	4	4	3	4	4	n	4	n	n	3	4	4	3	4	4	54
Aisyah Nurhidayah	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	23
	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	46
Anne Adeliyah	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	46
Annisa Olimpia	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	09
Delfi Rara Anjesika	4	3	4	3	ж	ж	n	3	ж	3	3	S.	3	3	3	47
DIO PUTRA RAMADHAN	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	44
Dioba meliza karlita	2	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	7	4	4	4	4	55
Dwi Aninda Sari	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	48
Dwi Indah Sari	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	90
Dwiki Farhan Hafizh	2	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	53
Ester Rindi Prastika	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	49
Fahmi Alfarissi	4	3	4	4	3	4	Э	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	49
Frinda Afrilia Maharani	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	2	3	2	4	4	49
Gita Indah Cahyani	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	45
Gustiantara	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	45
Irpan Hidayat	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	2	52
Jesi Nuraini	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	47
Laras Ariani	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	47
Leza Melfia	3	4	4	2	4	3	4	3	2	3	3	4	2	1	3	45
Lova novriati	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	54
M Arya Nando	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	47
M. Ikhsan Fachrizal	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	2	4	3	51
Mar'atul hairiyah	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	46
Melati Puspita	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	2	3	3	3	4	90
Mercy Allillah	4	æ	æ	4	κ	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	54

	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_	_		_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
45	50	54	49	42	53	45	52	46	43	51	47	45	45	45	48	47	53	45	54	53	46	45	37	46	51	45	49	51
3	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	c	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4
3	4	4	3	2	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	æ	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	4	4	3	3	4	3	З	4	3	3	æ	3	3	3	n	3	3	m	3	3	3	æ	æ	æ	3	ж	Э	3
7	3	c	3	3	3	3	4	c	3	3	c	3	3	3	c	3	4	n	4	3	3	С	2	c	4	3	4	3
3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	æ	3	3	æ	3	4	3	3	2	7	4	3	4	3
3	3	3	3	2	3	3	4	3	7	3	æ	3	3	3	3	3	3	æ	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	3	4	4	3	4	3	3	2	3	3	n	3	3	3	æ	4	4	n	4	3	3	n	c	4	3	3	2	3
3	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	_	3	4	3	3	4
3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	n	2	3	n	3	3	3	4	3	3	n	4	3	3	3	c	2	3	2	з	3
3	8	3	3	7	ε	3	4	3	8	3	3	3	8	3	3	ε	8	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4
3	3	4	3	3	3	3	ж	4	3	4	c	Э	3	3	æ	3	3	m	4	4	3	С	-	æ	3	С	4	3
2	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	2	2	4	3	3	4
3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	n	3	4	c	3	3	3	æ	3	4	n	4	4	3	n	c	4	3	3	4	3
3	3	4	4	3	3	3	2	2	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	n	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	4
4	4	2	4	3	4	3	4	n	4	4	c	3	3	3	4	3	4	n	4	4	4	3	2	4	4	3	з	4
Mhita Rima Melati	Muhammad attila rois	Muhammad Razieg	Nafisah Kamal	Naila shafira putri	Nanda dwi masta	Nazlah	Niko Anugrah	Nur Aulia Nesa Afifah	Rahma Madani	Rajes sadeva	Reni Diani Larasati	Repyan Rahayu	Resti Yolanda	Rifki wijayani	Rimalismadona	Samgina	Silvia Ralika Putri	Sinta Nia Yunisa	Sintia	Sri Kusuma	Stefhanie Putri Cusa Gempar	Sycfri Yanti	Titik sandora	Viona rosalita	Vioni Cahya Mutiara	Welinsah Yusat Wika	Winda Lestari	Wulandari

The Result of Students' Strategies Used in Learning English for Listening class

Indicators	Item	Mean	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	Avg	Dev
	I summarize key points while listening to enhance comprehension.	3,3	17	30,4%	38	67,9%	1	1,8%	0	0,0%	82%	0,49
	I take notes while listening to retain important details.	3,1	13	23,2%	39	69,6%	2	3,6%	2	3,6%	78%	0,63
Cognitive	I predict the meaning of unfamiliar words based on context.	3,2	18	32,1%	34	60,7%	3	5,4%	1	1,8%	81%	0,63
	I focus on keywords to grasp the main idea of the listening material.	3,4	25	44,6%	28	50,0%	3	5,4%	0	0,0%	85%	0,59
	I rely on tone, speed, and pauses to interpret the speaker's meaning.	3,1	14	25,0%	37	66,1%	4	7,1%	1	1,8%	79%	0,62
	Before listening, I set learning objectives for comprehension.	3,1	16	28,6%	31	55,4%	8	14,3%	1	1,8%	78%	0,71
	After listening, I reflect on my understanding and identify areas for improvement.	3,1	11	19,6%	39	69,6%	6	10,7%	0	0,0%	77%	0,55
Metacognitive	I maintain focus and avoid distractions during listening activities.	3,3	21	37,5%	31	55,4%	4	7,1%	0	0,0%	83%	0,60
	I recognize my strengths and weaknesses in listening skills.	3,2	18	32,1%	32	57,1%	6	10,7%	0	0,0%	80%	0,62
	I set specific goals to improve my listening skills.	3	9	16,1%	39	69,6%	7	12,5%	1	1,8%	75%	0,60
	I discuss listening tasks with classmates to enhance comprehension.	3,1	17	30,4%	31	55,4%	7	12,5%	1	1,8%	79%	0,70
	I ask for assistance from teachers or peers when I face listening difficulties.	3,1	16	28,6%	32	57,1%	7	12,5%	1	1,8%	78%	0,69
Socio- Affective	I actively participate in group discussions related to listening exercises.	2,9	6	10,7%	37	66,1%	13	23,2%	0	0,0%	72%	0,57
	I motivate myself to keep improving my listening skills despite difficulties.	3,2	16	28,6%	36	64,3%	4	7,1%	0	0,0%	80%	0,56
	I stay calm and manage anxiety when listening to difficult English content.	3,1	13	23,2%	36	64,3%	6	10,7%	1	1,8%	77%	0,64

Overall Percentage

Cognitive	Metacognitive	Socio-Affective
34,2%	33,2%	32,6%

The Result of the Reasons in Using These Strategies

Indicators	Item	Mean	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	Avg	Dev
	Create a better understanding	3,4	29	51,8%	22	39,3%	5	8,9%	0	0,0%	86,0%	0,66
	Strengthens the ability to extract key information.	3,3	19	33,9%	34	60,7%	3	5,4%	0	0,0%	82,0%	0,56
Cognitive	Improves recall and analytical skills.	3,3	20	35,7%	35	62,5%	1	1,8%	0	0,0%	83,0%	0,51
	Create a focus to the important information	3,3	23	41,1%	29	51,8%	4	7,1%	0	0,0%	83,0%	0,61
	Deepens overall comprehension.	3,3	17	30,4%	37	66,1%	1	1,8%	1	1,8%	81,0%	0,58
	Boosts accuracy in tasks or understanding.	3,2	12	21,4%	41	73,2%	3	5,4%	0	0,0%	79,0%	0,50
	Sharpens the ability to process spoken information.	3,1	12	21,4%	40	71,4%	4	7,1%	0	0,0%	79,0%	0,52
Metacognitive	Facilitates understanding of new or unfamiliar content.	3,2	15	26,8%	39	69,6%	1	1,8%	1	1,8%	80,0%	0,56
	Enhances the accuracy of what is heard.	3,3	17	30,4%	36	64,3%	3	5,4%	0	0,0%	81,0%	0,55
	Helps maintain focus and improves grasp of a subject.	3,1	6	10,7%	48	85,7%	2	3,6%	0	0,0%	77,0%	0,37
	Supports better focus and understanding.	3,1	11	19,6%	39	69,6%	6	10,7%	0	0,0%	77,0%	0,55
	Provides a positive boost to overall capability.	3,2	15	26,8%	39	69,6%	2	3,6%	0	0,0%	81,0%	0,50
Socio- Affective	Assists in overcoming listening challenges.	3,1	10	17,9%	43	76,8%	3	5,4%	0	0,0%	78,0%	0,47
	Develops abilities progressively over time.	3,3	20	35,7%	34	60,7%	1	1,8%	1	1,8%	83,0%	0,60
	Offers opportunities to address and fix weaknesses.	3,4	24	42,9%	31	55,4%	1	1,8%	0	0,0%	85,0%	0,53

Average Total 81,10%

Surat Pernyataan

(Informed Content)

Sebagai Rater Penelitian

Yang bertanda tangan dibawah ini, saya:

Nama

: Meli Kusumaningrum, M.Pd

Tempat, Tanggal, Lahir

: Air Duku, 24 Mei 1991

Setelah mendapat penjelasan dari peneliti tentang penelitian dengan judul: "Student's Learning Strategies in English Listening Class (A Study at English Study Program IAIN CURUP". Setelah mendapat petunjuk tentang pelaksanaan penilaian maka dengan ini menyatakan bahwa saya telah memberikan penilaian yang sungguhsungguh dan bertanggung jawab atas pemeriksaan hasil penelitian Student's Learning Strategies in Listening Class dari mahasiswa/i semester 4 English Study Program IAIN Curup.

Demikian surat pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sungguh- sungguh tanpa ada paksaan dari pihak manapun. Kiranya dapat digunakan sebaik-baiknya sebagai pegangan bagi peneliti dan pihak lain yang berkepentingan dalam penelitian ini.

Curup, 20 Juni 2025

Rater

Meli Kusumaningrum, M.Pd

NIP.